Arkansas Workforce Development Board

October 18, 2022
10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
Arkansas Division of Workforce Services
Via Zoom
ARKANSAS WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD
FULL BOARD MEETING

10:00 a.m.

Estimated Time: 1 hour 54 minutes

Call to Order & Roll Call (3 min) ................................................................. Tom Anderson, Chair

Agenda Item 1: ACTION
Minutes of July 19, 2022, Full Board Meeting (3 min) ................................. Tom Anderson, Chair

Agenda Item 2: ACTION
Minutes of September 15, 2022, Executive Committee Meeting (3 min) ..... Tom Anderson, Chair

Report of Chairperson (5 mins) ................................................................... Tom Anderson, Chair

Report of Staff (5 mins) ................. Eddie Thomas, Employment Assistance - Assistant Director Arkansas Division of Workforce Services

Report of Board Director (5 mins) ............................................................... Chad Brown, Executive Director Arkansas Workforce Development Board

Agenda Item 3: INFORMATIONAL
Arkansas Workforce Development Board Committees Assignments Update (5 mins) ........................ Chad Brown, Executive Director Arkansas Workforce Development Board
Agenda Item 4: ACTION
Ratification of WIOA Title I Program Policies
Approved by Executive Committee
On September 15, 2022 (20 mins)......Eddie Thomas, Employment Assistance – Assistant Director
Arkansas Division of Workforce Services

- ADWS Policy No. WIOA I-B – 4.3, Change 1
- ADWS Policy No. WIOA I-B – 5.2
- ADWS Policy No. WIOA I-B – 6.1, Change 3

Agenda Item 5: INFORMATION
PY21 Programs Performance (30 min) ............................................Eddie Thomas, EA Assistant Director
Arkansas Division of Workforce Services

- WIOA Title I & III .................................................................Sandy Monaco, Regional Advisor
- Adult Education............................................................... Bridget Criner, Associate Director
- Division of Services for the Blind .....................Megan Lamb, Field Services Administrator
- Arkansas Rehabilitation Services .........................Joe Baxter, Commissioner

Agenda Item 6: ACTION
ADWS Policy No. 4.5 - WIOA Title I-B Eligible Training Provider
Policy and Procedures (10 mins).......Eddie Thomas, Employment Assistance – Assistant Director
Arkansas Division of Workforce Services

Agenda Item 7: INFORMATION
North Arkansas College Update (15 mins) ................................................. David Mason
Dean of Workforce Development

Board Open Discussion (5 mins)
Public Opportunity to Address the Board (3 mins)
Announcements (2 mins)
Adjournment
AGENDA ITEM 1 – ACTION: Minutes of the July 19, 2022, Arkansas Workforce Development Board meeting.

RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that the Arkansas Workforce Development Board approve the minutes of the July 19, 2022, Arkansas Workforce Development Board meeting.

INFORMATION/RATIONALE: Minutes of this meeting is attached.
MINUTES
ARKANSAS WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD
July 19, 2022

The Arkansas Workforce Development Board convened the Full Board meeting through electronic means on July 19, 2022, beginning at 10:00 a.m. The meeting was conducted via Zoom. Chairman Tom Anderson presided with the following members voicing their presence: Mr. Joseph Baxter, Mr. Len Blaylock III, Ms. Karen Breashears, Dr. Charisse Childers, Judge Brandon Ellison, Mr. Randy Finegan, Ms. Abby Houseworth, Ms. Rebecca Ives, Ms. Candice Lawrence, Ms. Latanyua Robinson, Dr. Trenia Miles, Dr. Julie Roberson, Mr. Kelley Sharp, Mr. Brian Marsh, and Ms. Betsy Barnes proxy for Dr. Cassondra Williams-Stokes, Mr. Robert Thorne.

Mr. Miles Crawford, Mr. William French, Mr. Randy Henderson, Ms. Rachel Mize, Ms. Holley Little, Dr. Maria Markham, Mr. Gan Nunnally, Governor Hutchinson, Mr. Charles Johnson, Ms. Holley Little, Secretary Mike Preston, and Mayor James Sanders were unable to attend.

Chairman’s Opening Comments: Chairman Anderson introduced three new Board Members: Latanyua Robinson, Rachel Mize, and William French. Chairman Anderson also thanked Board members Johnney Key and Jeff Griffin, whose appointments expired in May, for their service on this Board.

Chairman Anderson informed the Board that they will be going back to voice voting, with the exception of roll call, for this and future meetings.

Agenda Item 1 – ACTION – Minute Approval: Chairman Anderson asked if there were any additional corrections or revisions to the April 19, 2022, Full Board meeting minutes.

Hearing none, a motion to accept the January 25, 2022, Full Board meeting minutes with no corrections or revisions was made by Ms. Karen Breashears, followed by a second motion by Ms. Rebecca Ives. The motion carried unanimously with none opposed.

Report of the Chairperson: Chairman Anderson congratulated Ms. Karen Breashears on her new role as Vice Chair for the AWDB at which time Chairman Anderson recognized Ms. Breashears for comment. Next Chairman Anderson informed the Board that the AWDB will be returning to in-person meetings starting in Calendar Year 2023. Lastly, Chairman Anderson informed the Board that he submitted a support letter for Shorter College to receive a grant to renovate the Argenta Depot for workforce development programs.

Report of Director: Chairman Anderson recognized Board Member and Arkansas Division of Workforce Services (ADWS) Director, Dr. Charisse Childers to address the full Board. Dr. Childers started her report by stating that she is looking forward to returning to in-person meetings as she believes it adds value to the work we do and the Board’s engagement and then congratulated the new Board members. Next, as a sitting member on the Arkansas Women’s Commission, Dr. Childers provided an update on the history and recent meetings of the Commission. Governor Hutchinson re-established the Women’s Commission in 2022 and asked tasked the Commission on furthering the success of women in Arkansas. Dr. Childers posted links, in the chat, to Commission meetings, videos, and reports. She mentioned that the next meeting will be in Russellville and stated it is open to everyone.

Report of Staff: Chairman Anderson recognized Board staff, Mr. Eddie Thomas, to address the Full Board. Mr. Thomas began by providing an update on the Reimagine Arkansas Workforce Initiative which is a grant provided by the Department of Education for $13 million provided to a local Board and ADWS. To
date a total of 1290 participants have enrolled in the program with 157 completers. The top programs studied at Shorter through this initiative are Entrepreneurship, Small Business Management and Human Resources. At U of A Global Campus (UAGC) the top programs studied are healthcare, business, and technology. To-date, we have spent roughly $3.5 million out of the $13 million awarded and looking to extend the grant into a fourth year of a three-year grant. Mr. Thomas will be providing the Board and Dr. Childers recommendations going forward with the grant.

Next Mr. Thomas gave an update on Title I and Title III performance negotiations and stated that Mr. Elroy Willoughby will providing further details later in the meeting. State staff met with DOL in late May to discuss performance levels of PY22 and PY23. On June 3rd, Dr. Childers received a letter finalizing the performance numbers at which time state staff conducted performance negotiations with local boards.

Mr. Thomas provided an update regarding the WIOA Roundtable and Executive Committee meeting which will be held next week to discuss the State Plan modifications and to start planning the Fall 2022 WIOA Partners Meeting scheduled for the October 19, 2022 thru October 21, 2022, which will be held in person in Little Rock, Arkansas.

Mr. Thomas continued his report by informing the Board that one trend being noticed is measuring the effectiveness and the outreach between all programs, specifically Title I in PY21 numbers reflect a total of 1829 participants served, 905 adults, 215 dislocated workers, and 709 youth. ADWs is working with the Local Boards on future strategies and outreach plans to make sure we fulfill the intent of WIOA.

Next, Mr. Thomas informed the AWDB that Mr. Phil Harris and the TANF team have been working with WIOA program and local workforce development boards to provide additional funding for work-based learning opportunities to youth and adults in the state. To-date the award has been given to six of the ten local workforce boards with a few more projects pending. Approximately $4 million dollars have been awarded. Mr. Thomas thanked TANF and Mr. Harris for their support with the workforce projects.

Mr. Thomas provided an overview of a recent listening session meeting hosted my DOL with states and local workforce boards that highlighted their national priorities which included job quality, equity, training driven by demand, expanded stakeholder engagement in state and federal partnerships, and workers centered approach at all levels. The Office of Employment and Training Administration is also scooping out three national training opportunities including conducting targeted and impactful marketing, innovative and flexible and responsive funding of programs, and effective strategies for leveraging the labor shortage ensuring that marginalized communities rise to the front lines of employment and access to quality jobs.

Mr. Thomas informed the AWDB that DOL will be visiting Arkansas on September 12, 2022, thru September 16, 2022, and will be monitoring West Central Workforce Development Area. In addition, at the beginning of the fiscal year, DOL will be monitoring the State for compliance.

Mr. Thomas provided an update on ACGT Work Ready Communities and stated that 34 of 75 counties are participating and 20 counties are certified as Work Ready Communities and in the maintaining phase. Mr. Thomas congratulated Southeast Arkansas for becoming the first Work Ready Region in the Arkansas. Mr. Thomas also congratulated WJ Monagle, of the Little Rock Workforce Development Board, for receiving a DOL $2 million dollar reentry grant presented by Secretary Walsh in June. Mr. Thomas also congratulated Dennis Williamson for being elected to Region 6’s Board of the National Association of Workforce Development Professionals.

Mr. Thomas concluded his report and turned it over to Chairman Anderson for questions, which there were none.
Report of Board Director: Chairman Anderson recognized Board Director, Chad Brown, to address the Full Board. First, Director Brown congratulated Ms. Karen Breashears on becoming the Vice-Chair of the AWDB. Secondly, Director Brown reminded the members to check their emails for missing forms that are needed for their files. The two forms that that Director Brown stated were mandatory every year are the Orientation Form and the Stipend forms.

Next, Director Brown updated the Board on the road trips that he and Mr. Thomas have been completing with the next trip being West Central. Mr. Brown stated that the purpose of the trips are to better the relationships between the state and the local areas with the goal of understanding what the state can do better and vise versa.

Mr. Brown provided an update on the Board’s social media accounts.

Lastly, Mr. Brown provided and update to the Board regarding its committee’s and the need to reorganize the committee’s due to appointment expirations and new board members. Director Brown stated that the new rosters will be ready for the Board’s review at the October 18th Board Meeting.

Mr. Brown concluded his report and turned it over to Chairman Anderson for questions, which there were none. Chairman Anderson announced that if any Board members arrived late to let us know so their attendance can be documented.

**Agenda Item 2 – Informational – Wachter Boot Camp:** Chairman Anderson gave a brief overview of Wachter and recognized Ms. Franci Heflin for her presentation. Ms. Wachter began her presentation by informing the members that Wachter has been around since 1930 and started as an electrical company and throughout the years moved into low voltage and data; however, Wachter recently started low voltage registered apprenticeship that started in January 2022. Their target audience is high school students that feel college is not for them or someone that is looking for a career change. Wachter prefers that candidates have little to no knowledge so they can be trained in accordance to Wachter principles.

Chairman Anderson opened the floor for questions and comments.

Len Blaylock asked if there is housing available in Lowell. Ms. Heflin stated that it is a two week training and that Wachter covers the cost of a hotel while the participant is in training.

Candice Lawrence asked if Wachter has reached out to Be Pro Be Proud to help in the coordination of their efforts and get the word out. Ms. Heflin stated that she has reached out to them and working to set something up.

Chairman Anderson asked if there were any other questions. With no other questions, the Chairman proceeded to the next agenda item.

**Agenda Item 3 – Informational – WIOA Policy Update:** Chairman Anderson recognized Mr. Eddie Thomas to provide this update. Mr. Eddie Thomas started with an overview of the revised Debt Collection Policy and provided background to the need of the policy. Mr. Thomas explained that this policy gave the local areas rights and explained that this policy has included consequences, with the most extreme being the decertification of a local board for failure to adhere to collecting debt. Mr. Thomas mentioned that he hoped this policy never has to be used; however, DOL recommended we have something in place just in case. Another policy is the Eligible Training Provider Policy and that policy describes the criteria for a training provider to be approved for the official list and how the local board approves individuals based on in-demand occupations.
Lastly, Mr. Thomas directed the Board’s attention to the bottom of the agenda where recent and/or issued policies have been released by ADWS and continued to provide a summary of each of the four policies listed.

Before concluding Mr. Thomas’ presentation, he asked Director Brown if there is anything he did not cover in his explanation of the Debt Collection Policy. Director Brown asked Eddie if this policy could wait till the next Board Meeting or would it need to be approved in an Executive Committee meeting. Mr. Thomas replied and stated it would probably need to go before the Executive Committee.

Mr. Thomas concluded his report and turned it over to Chairman Anderson for questions.

**Agenda Item 4 – Informational – PY2022 – 2023 WIOA Titles I & III Negotiated Performance Levels:** Chairman Anderson recognized Mr. Elroy Willoughby to present Titles I & III negotiated performance levels. Mr. Willoughby restated the information that Mr. Eddie Thomas stated earlier, then directed the Board attention to the PY2022 and PY2023 page in their packet. At this time, Mr. Willoughby gave an in-depth explanation of WIOA Title I Adult, WIOA Title I Dislocated Worker, WIOA Title I Youth, WIOA Title III Wagner-Peyser Employment Services negotiated levels. Next Mr. Willoughby pulled up a two-year historical perspective to show the members.

Mr. Willoughby concluded his presentation and opened the floor for questions. Chairman Anderson complimented Mr. Willoughby for his presentation and noted that it looks like we (Arkansas) is moving the ball forward.

**Agenda Item 4 – Informational – WIOA Core Partner Program Updates:** Chairman Anderson mentioned that Director Brown is working on providing a standing spot on the agenda for the Core Programs under WIOA. Chairman Anderson recognized Dr. Miles for her updates on Adult Education.

- **Adult Education:** Dr. Miles provided a brief overview of Adult Education due to new members being appointed to the Board. Dr. Miles stated that the main area of focus is getting individuals a GED. Dr. Miles provided Arkansas statistics of the current situation of those needing a GED, individuals taking the exams along with pass and completion rates and explained that Arkansas was not ready to go virtual during the pandemic due to many of the individuals have computer literacy issues. These literacy issues also had a significant affect on the GED providers since many of them are retired and not properly trained on computer systems. Dr. Miles also gave an overview of WAGE and its current status in Arkansas. She mentioned that Adult Education wants to continue to expand their partnerships with business and industry to offer more on-the-job workplace classes, continue partnerships with WIOA partners, and local providers. The goal is for participants to transition back into society or improve their economic outlook.

Dr. Miles concluded her presentation and turned it over to Chairman Anderson for questions.

Len Blaylock had a question about the Level I WAGE Certificates regarding the methods that train teachers how to navigate the course and the learning management system and sked who the teachers are that Dr. Miles referenced and what level they came from. Dr. Miles stated that many of the teachers came from elementary and/or are retired. She explained that there are teachers that taught elementary through high school. Dr. Miles explained that the professional development and training for these teachers come from the staff at the Adult Learning Center. Mr. Blaylock asked if any of the teachers could also be working on their WAGE Certificate as they were teaching, and Dr. Miles stated that the teachers are not working on their WAGE certificate while teaching and that they are only focusing on the adult learners. Dr. Miles went on to explain the platforms that are utilized as part of the program.
Chairman Anderson opened the floor again for questions and comments, which there were none.

- **Division of Services for the Blind:** Chairman Anderson recognized Ms. Betsy Barnes to provide an update for the Division of Services for the Blind. Ms. Barnes apologized for Ms. Williams absence due to her attending the CSAVR Executive Session. Ms. Barnes introduced herself and began her PowerPoint presentation. Ms. Barnes started by explaining the eligibility criteria for their Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) Program, then a brief overview of the program. Ms. Barnes stated that all VR services must relate directly to preparing the consumer for their specific employment goal. Next, she provided an overview of the Pre-ETS Summer Programs which included Jump Start and Robotics Programs. Ms. Barnes went on to discuss older individuals who are blind and followed with an overview of the Vending Facility Program. Next, Ms. Barnes provided the AWDB members an overview of the Arkansas Information Reading Services program. This program broadcasts readings of local and national newspapers and magazines through a dial-in telephone system. Lastly, Ms. Barnes provided an overview of DSB’s accomplishments for State Fiscal Year 2022. She provided the following statistics:
  - 1054 served – 145 gained employment which is an increase of 4.14% over last year
  - 90 individuals served over 55 years of age
  - Currently supporting 135 clients with ongoing training to increase their career earning potential
  - 81 students received Pre-Employment Transition Services training ages 16-21

Ms. Barnes concluded her presentation and turned it over to Chairman Anderson for questions.

Candice Lawrence asked for more information in the programs and copy of the presentation. She stated she is very interested in the reading services program. Ms. Barnes asked if Elroy Willoughby could send the presentation to Ms. Lawrence, and he agreed.

Chairman Anderson asked if there were any other questions, and thanked Ms. Barnes for her presentation.

- **Arkansas Rehabilitation Services:** Chairman Anderson introduced Rehab Commissioner, Mr. Joe Baxter. Mr. Baxter started his presentation by explaining the goal of Rehab which is to serve individuals with disabilities so they can lead successful and independent lives. Mr. Baxter discussed two recent events that helps fulfill the mission of ARS: 1) Statewide Needs Assessment presented to the State Rehabilitation Counsel during their recent quarterly meeting. The results of that meeting will require updates to the State Plan, 2) Data migration as ARS moves into a new case management system which is expected to go live October 1st. Lastly, Mr. Baxter discussed two open houses in Little Rock and North Little Rock that were extremely successful.

Mr. Baxter concluded his report and opened the floor for questions. Chairman Anderson also asked if there were any questions and thanked Mr. Baxter for his report.

**Board Open Discussion:** Chairman Anderson opened the floor for Board members. No comments or questions were raised.

Chairman Anderson did draw the Board’s attention to the policy section and noted that various policies will be added to this section for informational purposes if changes were made.

**Public Speaking Opportunity:** Chairman opened the conference line for public comment. There were no comments on the phone or in the Zoom chat.
**Announcements:** Chairman stated that the next Board meeting is scheduled for October 18 and stated he was looking forward to getting back to normal business.

Len Blaylock asked if the Wachter information could get sent out so he could get to all the Senators.

**Adjourn:** As presented, Chairman Anderson adjourned the AWDB Full Board meeting at 11:12 a.m., on a motion made by Mr. Len Blaylock, followed by Judge Brandon Ellison making the second motion. The motion carried unanimously with none opposed.

Thomas Anderson, Board Chairman
Arkansas Workforce Development Board

Chad Brown, Director Workforce Development
Arkansas Division of Workforce Services

Minutes recorded by Chad Brown
Arkansas Division of Workforce Services Staff
AGENDA ITEM 2 – ACTION: Minutes of the September 15, 2022, Executive Committee of the Arkansas Workforce Development Board meeting.

RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that the Arkansas Workforce Development Board approve the minutes of the September 15, 2022, Executive Committee of the Arkansas Workforce Development Board meeting.

INFORMATION/RATIONALE: Minutes of this meeting is attached.
The Executive Committee of the Arkansas Workforce Development Board convened its meeting through electronic means on September 15, 2022, beginning at 10:01 a.m. The meeting was conducted via Zoom. Chairman Tom Anderson presided with the following members voicing their presence: Tom Anderson, Ms. Karen Breashears, Judge Brandon Ellison, and Dr. Julie Roberson. During roll call, Mr. Thorne was present but was having technical difficulties and could not hear his name called by staff. Ms. Karen Breashears received a message from Mr. Thorne to inform staff that he was present at which point Ms. Breashears placed it in the zoom chat. Staff acknowledged and marked him as present.

Executive Committee member Ms. Abby Houseworth was unable to attend.

Chairman’s Opening Comments: Chairman Anderson called the meeting to order at 10:01 a.m. after staff conducted a roll call to determine a quorum. Chairman Anderson gave a summary for the purpose of the Executive Committee meeting which was to review and approve various WIOA program policies. Chairman Anderson commented that after review and approval, these policies will be ratified at the next full Board Meeting.

Agenda Item 1 – ACTION – ADWS Policy No. WIOA 1-B – 4.3, Change 1 (Requirements for Local Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures) Approval: Chairman Tom Anderson introduced agenda item one by sharing need for Arkansas Division of Workforce Services (ADWS) to update this policy at which point he introduced Assistant Director (AD) Eddie Thomas to present the revisions to the Executive Committee.

AD Thomas began by letting the members know that four policies for WIOA Title I activities will be brought before them for approval and reminded the members that strategic, not administrative, policies will be brought before the Board for approval. AD Thomas explained that this is an existing policy which has been revised to meet the criteria of the Department of Labor (DOL). Ad Thomas turned over the presentation to Dr. Claudia Griffin to explain the revisions to the Executive Committee.

Dr. Griffin made note that some are confused and think this policy is the state grievance procedure and policy; however, it is the requirements for the Local Area’s who must have this included in their grievance and complaint procedures. Dr. Griffin concluded her presentation and opened the floor for questions. Chairman Anderson also asked the members if there were any questions and hearing none, Chairman Anderson asked for a motion to approve this policy.

Hearing none, a motion to accept the policy revisions was made by Robert Thorne, followed by a second motion by Judge Brandon Ellison. The motion carried unanimously with none opposed and was conducted as a voice vote instead of roll call.

Next, Chairman Anderson introduced the Agenda Item 2, the Eligible Training Provider (ETP) policy, and turned the presentation over to AD Thomas.
Agenda Item 2 – ACTION – ADWS Policy No. ADWS Policy No. 4.5- WIOA Title I-B, Eligible Training Provider Policy and Procedures Approval. Chairman Anderson recognized AD Thomas to present the policy to the committee. AD Thomas began his presentation by explaining that this is another policy that is being revised to focus on the eligible training provider list and its procedures and criteria for all stakeholders including all training providers, local workforce development boards, state and local staff, and all other stakeholders involved. AD Thomas turned the presentation over to Deputy Assistant (DA) Beverly Lovett to explain the details of this policy.

DA Lovett provided a brief history of the ETP policy and reminded the members that these procedures were created in June of 2018 as a requirement of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), at which point the draft procedures were shared with the AWDB in 2020. The ETPL procedures were initially titled the Initial Eligibility Certification Process which required the eligible training providers to that their training programs must be listed as in demand occupations for participant enrollments in occupational skills training. The initial procedures included the following areas: the Projected Employment Opportunities List in the State of Arkansas, Initial Eligibility Certification Process, State Minimum Performance Standards for Training providers, Continued Eligibility Certification Process, Registered Apprenticeship Programs, and AKERS Registered Apprenticeship Form. In December 2020 ADWS began transitioning AKERS to the Arkansas Job Link (AJL) because AJL includes a menu of the required performance data to add the ETP annual report for the DOL. AKERS was phased out on June 30, 2021. With this change, the ETPL procedures has been transitioned to the ETPL policy and procedures change. This policy change includes all the initial procedures that was just mentioned. At this time, DA Lovett went through and provided an in-depth explanation of the pages in the packet that included the changes. DA Lovett concluded her presentation and opened the floor for questions.

Judge Brandon Ellison asked if this policy tweak was brought on due to issues brought on by the past or is this a requirement of the federal government? DA Lovett explained that these are new requirements and nothing in the past has been the reason for the changes. DA Lovett stated that we are adding the language to what the regulations are for the DOL.

AD Thomas added additional comments on the switch from the previous system to the new system and made note that there are new processes that we have to implement and ADWS needed to make sure those requirements were clearly spelled out for all stakeholders.

Karen Breashears directed staff to look at Page 9 addresses the Local Workforce Development Board’s (LWDB) responsibilities and stated that several of the Local Boards contacted her after these policies with changes were made public the LWDB’s are stating that much of this redundancy. Ms. Breashears mentioned that she sent her notes to Director Chad Brown and the question that keeps arising is that the LWDB’s have not been given an opportunity to provide input and that some of this is done on the state level and now it is being required on the local level and asked, “what the purpose of this redundancy”? AD Thomas explained to Ms. Breashears the process of policy revision and policy creation with the state and how those policies are vetted. AD Thomas went on to explain that many of the standard procedures are provided through the provider link; however, those responsibilities needed to be spelled out in this policy.

Karen Breashears asked to look at the second sentence under the LWDB responsibilities section that states the “LWDB must maintain a local list” and went on to explain that the list is already maintained in AJL and asked for clarity on what this means. AD Thomas addressed Ms. Breashears question and stated that it is not a requirement of the Local Area and that the State is already doing this on behalf of the LWDB’s. Ms. Breashears replied to AD Thomas and stated that many of the questions she has received from the Local Area’s regarding that eighty percent (80%) of the stuff listed are either already available in AJL or it is happening through the state level, but when reading it is interpreted as their (LWDB) responsibility. Ms. Breashears then stated that it implies that local area’s will have to maintain a different document and when
they are monitored that they will be expected to produce this documentation. The local areas were just wanting clarification.

Karen Breshears then asked the local area’s maintaining procedures to determine eligibility of a training provider. She stated that this is the same thing as before and already done in AJL so will a local area have to draft a new policy and procedures? Ad Thomas replied and stated that the Local area’s will need to use this policy and adopt it to their own policy so they can place their own spin/discretion on it. During AD Thomas’ explanation, Ms. Breshears interrupted and stated that she could not hear his answer due to a phone that was not muted. Ad Thomas asked Ms. Breshears to restate her question. Ms. Breshears repeated her previous question and asked if the state is wanting the local to develop an internal policy to determine who can be a training provider and who cannot, but that is already predetermined by the state and federal level, so this is not a policy you want them to write but adhere to what’s existing? AD Thomas stated that the pertaining to that question then yes, the local areas will have to adopt their own policy which can mirror the state’s policy, but it will need to specifically define anything that is specific to the local workforce development area. AD Thomas further explained that the reason for this is because each local area has the discretion to expand their criteria based on their priorities and based on their preferences by their Board. Because of that, they will need to write their own polices to govern how they go about approaching this, but a good starting tool is always the state policy.

Karen Breshears then asked, “And the same thing with monitoring training providers?” Ms. Breshears used NATF as an example and asked if NATF would get monitored by all ten (10) local areas? Ad Thomas responded by saying that the state will monitor the local workforce areas who in turn should be working with each training provider to ensure all their criteria is met so it may not be a formal monitoring as if we or DOL facilitates, but there should be some type of engagement or evaluation system in place to make sure training providers are meeting this criterion. Ms. Breshears then asked if those training providers that are covered by the state going to be monitored by all ten (10) locals? Ad Thomas asked for clarification to make sure he understood her question and Ms. Breshears repeated her question as previously stated. She asked if the training provider is a statewide provider, does that mean the training provider will be monitored by all ten (10) local areas. Ad Thomas stated that it would be more of an evaluation versus a monitoring and further explained this would not be an official monitoring where we would go down an extensive checklist, but based on the criteria set in those policies, they are just evaluating those criteria to make sure those policies are met. Ms. Breshears followed stating that the limit is already being pushed with training providers and that they are already up-in-arms about some of this reporting. Ms. Breshears used herself as an example and stated that if she gets a call from all ten (10) local areas, then about the third call she is not going to be happy as a training provider. She mentioned that there has to be a better way to do this if the state is already monitoring and making sure we are doing what we are supposed to be doing. Ms. Breshears used a two-year college as an example and stated that they can serviced people throughout the state so now you are putting that on all ten (10) locals to develop a policy and call on these training providers. We don’t want to lose any training providers because we need as many as we can get, and Ms. Breshears stated that she thinks that is an issue. AD Thomas continued to explain that the state will making sure that the training provider is reporting outcomes, wages, any performance information in provider link and it may not be as extensive as a person coming to each site monitoring and reviewing documents, but it can be maintained through provider link. Ad Thomas also stated that most of this is already in place, but we need to make sure that local board’s and local area’s do have system’s and procedures in place to make sure they are meeting compliance and performance. Ms. Breshears concluded by stating that this policy is implying a lot of things and is not very clear and believes we will have interpretation issues down the road. Ms. Breshears added that this (interpretation) is something that she has discussed in depth with Director Chad Brown, Robert Thorne and the Forest City Board, Hot Springs Board and anything we can do to make things more clear where it is not left up to interpretation will save a lot of heartburn and headaches down the road. AD Thomas stated that his staff will provide technical assistance to the local areas and also to the training providers to make sure this policy is clear.
Ad Thomas proposed to the Executive Committee that if there is any language that needs to be adjusted then he and his staff will be open to it. Robert Thorne followed and stated that it is something we probably need to look at because interpretation can cause a chain reaction, so we really need to look at this before we approve it.

Chairman Anderson asked if it would be appropriate to entertain a motion to table this policy until we look at it further and maybe Robert and Karen participate with Director Thomas on wording. Mr. Thorne and Ms. Breashears agreed to work with AD Thomas. Ms. Breashears stated that she has six or seven pages of notes from the locals that she is willing to share with why they are looking at this differently.

Karen Breashears made a motion to table this particular issuance for further review, followed by a second motion by Robert Thorne. The motion carried unanimously with none opposed and was conducted as a voice vote instead of roll call.

**Agenda Item 3 – ACTION – ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 5.2 (Arkansas WIOA Title I Debt Collection Policy and Procedures) Approval.** Chairman Anderson recognized AD Eddie Thomas to present the Debt Collection Policy. AD Thomas stated that this policy was focuses in on the state’s responsibility as the grant recipient and Governor’s administrative entity to make sure we have procedures in place to establish debt and to make sure the state has processes in place to ensure that compliance is being carried out. AD Thomas mentioned that several of the local workforce development boards monitoring reports had questioned costs that resulted in becoming disallowed costs and over the past few years, there are pending reports that have not been resolved due to the nonpayment of disallowed costs whereas AD Thomas explained a recent situation with the DOL regarding waiver of liability requests from two local areas. ADWS supported the waiver of liability for these two areas; however, both requests were denied by DOL. DOL’s feedback was that ADWS did not make a concerted effort to establish and collect the debt and that the local areas did not make a concerted effort to pay the debt and collect monies from the Chief Elected Officials.

Next AD Thomas went through various sections of the policy and provided an explanation of the process in accordance with the policy. Lastly, AD Thomas opened the floor for questions from the members.

Karen Breashears asked if DOL charges a percentage rate on anything that is owed. AD Thomas replied yes, and Ms. Breashears asked if they charge the full 10%? AD Thomas stated that he would like to get more clarification and asked DOL for assistance but has yet to get a response. Ms. Breashears made a point that if the state charges 10% and the DOL charges 8%, then that would be a revenue stream for the state and recommended that the policy be revised to include a rate equal to what DOL charges. AD Thomas agreed and stated that ADWS does not benefit from the percentage rate.

Karen Breashears stated that the other issues around this policy are the timelines, for example, the appeals process and how long it takes to get back the initial report. She explained that the longer the appeals process takes the more interest that accrues while the local areas accrue while waiting on the state. AD Thomas stated that ADWS is working on the process and the timelines associated with the process to tighten some of our internal controls. AD Thomas acknowledged that ADWS has several years of reports that fell behind, but he and his staff are working to get all past reports caught up. AD Thomas asked Dr. Claudia Griffin for assistance in explaining the timeline of the percentage rate at which point Dr. Griffin explained. Dr. Griffin agreed with the recommendation to revise the policy to reflect a mirrored rate to DOL’s.

Chairman Anderson opened the floor for any other questions. Judge Brandon Ellison commended Karen Breashears for looking into the situation and stated that we have to be careful not to punish folks when appealing when it could be a simple misunderstanding. Judge Ellison stated if a motion were made to approve the policy with the changes, then he expects the changes to be made. Chairman Anderson concurred.
Chairman Anderson asked for a motion to include the two changes: 1) debt at the same rate as DOL, and 2) interest will not accrue till final appeal is determined. Judge Brandon Ellison made a motion to approve the policy with the two changes, followed by a second motion by Robert Thorne. The motion carried unanimously with none opposed and was conducted as a voice vote instead of roll call.

**Agenda Item 4 – ACTION – ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 6.1, Change 3 (Arkansas Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures for WIOA Title I Activities) Approval.** Chairman Anderson recognized Ad Thomas to present this policy at which point he turned the presentation over to Dr. Claudia Griffin. Dr. Griffin acknowledged that the dates on the policies were incorrect and stated they would be corrected. She provided a brief history and summary of the revisions in the policy.

Dr. Griffin asked for questions. Karen Breashears complimented the individual that wrote this policy and stated that she loves the CFR’s being listed throughout the policy. Chairman Anderson opened the floor for further questions.

Hearing none, a motion to accept the policy revisions was made by Robert Thorne, followed by a second motion by Judge Brandon Ellison. The motion carried unanimously with none opposed and was conducted as a voice vote instead of roll call.

At this time Chairman Anderson opened the floor for public comment. No comments or questions were asked.

**Adjourn:** As presented, Chairman Anderson adjourned the Executive Committee meeting, on a motion made by Karen Breashears, followed by Robert Thorne making the second motion. The motion carried unanimously with none opposed.

______________________________
Thomas Anderson, Board Chairman
Arkansas Workforce Development Board

______________________________
Chad Brown, Director Workforce Development
Arkansas Division of Workforce Services

*Minutes recorded by Chad Brown*
*Arkansas Division of Workforce Services Staff*
AGENDA ITEM 3 – INFORMATION: Arkansas Workforce Development Board Committees Roster Update

INFORMATION/RATIONALE: Over the last year, the AWDB has attained several new members; however, the Board has lost members due to expiration of terms.

Starting in Calendar Year 2023, the Committees of the AWDB will get back to business and resume their meetings.

To ensure that these Committees can meet their required obligations, we have updated their membership rosters.

Attached are the new committee rosters for the Board’s review and approval. Each roster in your packet includes language from the law in addition to the language in the Board’s By-Laws.
Executive Committee Roster

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Members</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Other Committee Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Crawford</td>
<td>Miles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Charles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Thorne</td>
<td>Robert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chief Elected Official</td>
<td>Ellison</td>
<td>Brandon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>§15-4-3704(b)(2)(B) - Apprenticeship</td>
<td>Breashears</td>
<td>Karen</td>
<td>Vice-Chair</td>
<td>Chair - Strategic Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>§15-4-3704(b)(2)(B) - Labor</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>William</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (min)</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Baxter</td>
<td>Joe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Open to any AWDB Board Member</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 (max)</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Arkansas Annotated 15-4-3705 – Arkansas Workforce Development Board (AWDB) Committees, requires the AWDB to select from its membership an Executive Committee. The Executive Committee is to be comprised of at least (9) members but no more than (11) members.

The Chair of the AWDB and the Vice Chair of the AWDB shall serve as chair and vice chair of the executive committee, respectively.

According to the by-laws, “the Executive Committee shall be formed and meet as needed between the quarterly board meetings at the call of the chair of the executive committee or upon the request of seventy-five percent (75%) of the executive committee members. Upon the initial meeting of the Workforce Development Board, the Chair shall name an interim Executive Committee, which shall expire after six months. The Board shall select an Executive Committee to take effect the seventh month.

The Executive Committee shall have the authority of the Board to act during the interim between Board meetings but shall defer to the Board, whenever practical, action on matters of major policy implications. Executive Committee decisions will be implemented immediately and will be ratified at the next full Board meeting. Between Board meetings the Executive Committee shall review and coordinate the work of the other committees. All Board functions not specifically enumerated and assigned to another committee by these by-laws shall be the responsibility of the full Board and the Executive Committee, unless the function is assigned by the Chair to another standing or ad hoc committee.

The membership of the Executive Committee shall include:

- At least five (5) members representing businesses;
- At least one (1) chief elected official;
- At least two (2) representatives of workers;

The Arkansas Department of Workforce Services shall provide staff support to the board.”
The Arkansas Annotated 15-4-3705 - Arkansas Workforce Development Board Committees, requires the Arkansas Workforce Development Board to have a standing committee to provide oversight of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program.

This Committee ensures that all program participants are receiving the assistance, the information, and the services needed to help these low-income parents prepare for and connect with employment that will lead to a self-sufficient wage.

According to the By-Laws, the “Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Oversight Committee provides oversight of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program and ensures that all program participants are receiving the assistance, information, and the services needed to help these low-income parents prepare for and connect with employment that will lead to a self-sufficient wage.

The member of the committee shall include:

- At least five (5) members representing businesses;
- At least one (1) chief elected official;
- At least one (1) member from among those members representing workers;
- The director of the Department of Workforce Services;
- The director of the Division of County Operations of the Department of Human Services as a standing voting committee member who is also not a member of the Arkansas Workforce Development Board.”
Strategic Planning Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Members</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Act 907</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Other Committee Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>§15-4-3704(b)(2)(B) - (Apprenticeship)</td>
<td>Breashears</td>
<td>Karen</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Lawrence</td>
<td>Candice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Henderson</td>
<td>Randy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Ives</td>
<td>Rebecca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Robinson</td>
<td>Latanyua</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Charles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Williams</td>
<td>Cassondra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Workforce Development</td>
<td>Marsh</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Open to any AWDB Board Member</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Arkansas Annotated 15-4-3705 – Arkansas Workforce Development Board Committees (AWDB), allows the Board to form other Committees as needed. The Strategic Planning Committee is one of the standing committees, in accordance with approved Board By-Laws.

According to the By-Laws, “The Strategic Planning Committee shall act in an advisory capacity to the Board to carry out the following functions:

- The development, implementation, and modification of the state workforce development plan
- The review of statewide policies, of statewide programs, and of recommendations on actions that should be taken by the state to align workforce development programs in the state in a manner that supports a comprehensive and streamlined workforce development system in the state, including the review and provision of comments on the state plan, if any, for programs and activities of one-stop partners that are not core programs;
- The development and continuous improvement of the workforce development system in the state, including:
  - The identification of barriers and means for removing barriers to better coordinate, align, and avoid duplication among the programs and activities carried out through the system;
  - The development of strategies to support the use of career pathways for the purpose of providing individuals, including low-skilled adults, youth, and individuals with barriers to employment (including individuals with disabilities), with workforce investment activities, education, and supportive services to enter or retain employment;
  - The development of strategies for providing effective outreach to and improved access for individuals and employers who could benefit from services provided through the workforce development system;
  - The development and expansion of strategies for meeting the needs of employers, workers, and jobseekers, particularly through industry or sector partnerships related to in-demand industry sectors and occupations;
  - The identification of regions, including planning regions, after consultation with local boards and chief elected officials;
The development of strategies to support staff training and awareness across programs supported under the workforce development system;

The development and review of statewide policies affecting the coordinated provision of services through the state’s one-stop delivery system, including the development of—
  o Guidance for the allocation of one-stop center infrastructure funds; and
  o Policies relating to the appropriate roles and contributions of entities carrying out one-stop partner programs within the one-stop delivery system, including approaches to facilitating equitable and efficient cost allocation in such system;

The development of strategies for technological improvements to facilitate access to, and improve the quality of, services and activities provided through the one-stop delivery system, including such improvements to:
  o Enhance digital literacy skills;
  o Accelerate the acquisition of skills and recognized postsecondary credentials by participants;
  o Strengthen the professional development of providers and workforce professionals; and
  o Ensure such technology is accessible to individuals with disabilities and individuals residing in remote areas;

The development of allocation formulas for the distribution of funds for employment and training activities for adults, and youth workforce investment activities, to local areas.

The Committee also will perform other functions related to strategic planning activities. To the extent feasible, appointments to the Strategic Planning Committee shall be similar to the categorical representation of the Board as a whole.”
Program and Performance Evaluation Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Members</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Act 907</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Other Committee Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Houseworth</td>
<td>Abby</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Mize</td>
<td>Rachel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Blaylock</td>
<td>Len</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Roberson</td>
<td>Julie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Charles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Sharp</td>
<td>Kelley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Preston</td>
<td>Mike</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Chief Elected Official</td>
<td>Sanders</td>
<td>James</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Open to any AWDB Board Member</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Arkansas Annotated 15-4-3705 - Arkansas Workforce Development Board Committees, allows the Board to form other Committees as needed. The Program and Performance Evaluation Committee is one of the standing committees, in accordance with approved Board By-Laws.

According to the By-Laws, “The Program and Performance Evaluation Committee shall act in an advisory capacity to the Board to carry out the following functions:

- The continuous improvement of the one-stop delivery system in local areas, including providing assistance to local boards, one-stop operators, one-stop partners, and providers with planning and delivering services, including training services and supportive services, to support effective delivery of services to workers, jobseekers, and employers;
- The development and updating of comprehensive state performance accountability measures, including state adjusted levels of performance, to assess the effectiveness of the core programs in the state;
- The identification and dissemination of information on best practices, including best practices for:
  - The effective operation of one-stop centers, relating to the use of business outreach, partnerships, and service delivery strategies, including strategies for serving individuals with barriers to employment;
  - The development of effective local boards, which may include information on factors that contribute to enabling local boards to exceed negotiated local levels of performance, sustain fiscal integrity, and achieve other measures of effectiveness; and
  - Effective training programs that respond to real time labor market analysis, that effectively use direct assessment and prior learning assessment to measure an individual’s prior knowledge, skills, competencies, and experiences, and that evaluate such skills, and competencies for adaptability, to support efficient placement into employment or career pathways;
- Objective criteria and procedures for use by local boards in assessing the effectiveness and continuous improvement of one-stop centers;
- The preparation of an annual report;
- The development of the statewide workforce and labor market information system;
- The development of such other policies as may promote statewide objectives for, and enhance the performance of, the workforce development system in the state; and
- The development of strategies for aligning technology and data systems across one-stop partner programs to enhance service delivery and improve efficiencies in reporting on performance accountability measures (including the design and implementation of common intake, data collection, case management information, and performance accountability measurement and reporting processes and the incorporation of local input into such design and implementation, to improve coordination of services across one-stop partner programs).

To the extent feasible, appointments to the Program Performance and Evaluation Committee shall be similar to the categorical representation of the Board as a whole.”
AGENDA ITEM 4: ACTION: Ratification of WIOA Title I Program Policies

INFORMATION/RATIONALE:
The policies listed on the agenda were reviewed and approved by the Executive Committee on September 15, 2022, except for the Eligible Training Provider policy. There was much discussion over some of the requirements and ADWS agreed to review the concerns and make revisions where appropriate.
FROM: Charisse Childers, Ph.D., Director  
TO: Local Workforce Development Area Administrators, Service Providers, & One-Stop Operators  

SUBJECT: ADWS Policy No. WIOA I-B – 4.3, Change 1 (Requirements for Local Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures)  

1. Purpose: The purpose of this issuance is to describe the changes made in ADWS Policy No. WIOA I-B – 4.3, Change 1 from the previous version of the policy.  

2. References:  
WIOA §181(c)(1)  
20 CFR 683.600  
Current version of ADWS Policy No. WIOA I - 6.1 (Arkansas Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures for WIOA Title I Activities)  

3. Background: Each local area must establish and maintain procedures for participants and other interested parties to file grievances and complaints alleging violations of the requirements of title I of WIOA, according to the applicable requirements of 20 CFR 683.600. This policy details the requirements for such procedures. This policy is not to be confused with ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 6.1 (Arkansas Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures for WIOA Title I Activities.)  

4. Changes Made from ADWS Policy No. WIOA I-B – 4.3 (Updated 8/27/18) to ADWS Policy No. WIOA I-B, Change 1:  
a. The title was changed to clarify that these are requirements for the local procedures and not the procedures themselves.  
b. ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 6.1 (Arkansas Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures for WIOA Title I Activities) is referenced to give local areas information for appealing decisions made at the local level.  
c. More detailed requirements are given concerning the requirement in 20 CFR 683.600(b)(3) to make reasonable efforts to assure that the procedures are easily understood.  
d. Other non-substantial corrections were made.  

3. Additional Information: The attached policy is placed in the ADWS Title I Policy Manual found at https://dws.arkansas.gov/workforce-services/programs/workforce-innovation-wioa/  

4. Attachment: ADWS Policy No. WIOA I-B – 4.3, Change 1 (Requirements for Local Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures)
5. **Action Required**: Please provide this information to all appropriate WIOA title I sub-recipient staff.

6. **Inquiries**: Email WIOATA@arkansas.gov

7. **Expiration**: Ongoing
Requirements for Local Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures

PURPOSE: The purpose of this policy is to describe and to detail the regulations concerning the Title I-B grievance, complaint, and appeal procedures as they apply to the local area, in accordance with the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act of 2014 (WIOA), the WIOA Final Rule, and Arkansas State WIOA Title I policies.

REFERENCE:
WIOA § 181(c)(1)
20 CFR 683.600
Current version of ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 6.1 (Arkansas Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures for WIOA Title I Activities)

POLICY:
Each local area, including the local workforce development board (LWDB), the Title I-B program provider, and the One-Stop operator, must have written procedures to address grievance and complaints for allegations concerning WIOA Title I-B. These procedures may be unique for each entity or they may be one procedure for all. Each procedure must include the information listed below [WIOA § 181(c)(1); 20 CFR 683.600(a)]. Although there are some connotations of differences between the terms “grievance” and “complaint,” the two words are used interchangeably in this policy. No inference may be taken concerning the meaning of the two terms, even when only one is used.

Reasonable efforts must be made to assure that the information in the grievance, complaint, and appeal procedures will be understood by affected participants and other individuals, including youth and those who are limited-English speaking individuals [20 CFR 683.600(b)(3)]. In order to meet the requirements of 20 CFR 683.600(b)(3), a brief version of the part of the grievance, complaint, and appeal procedures for the One-Stop operator must be displayed in the One-Stop and must also be available when requested; a brief version of the grievance, complaint, and appeal procedures for the program provider must be displayed in the offices of program staff, and a brief version of the grievance, complaint and appeal procedures of the LWDB must be displayed in the office of the staff of the board. All procedures must be available upon request to anyone who requests the procedures. Reasonable efforts must be made to help youth and those who are limited-English speakers understand the procedures [20 CFR 683.600(b)].
Each procedure must include:

- A process for dealing with grievances and complaints from participants and other interested parties affected by the local workforce development system, including one-stop partners and service providers \[20 CFR 683.600(c)(1)\].

- Assurance that the complaint will be investigated with an informal resolution to be proposed within 60 days of the filing of the grievance \[20 CFR 683.600(c)(2)\].

- The opportunity for a hearing to be completed, if requested, at the local level within 60 days of the filing of the grievance or complaint \[WIOA § 181(c)(1); 20 CFR 683.600(c)(2)\].

- A process which allows an individual alleging a labor standards violation to submit the grievance to a binding arbitration procedure if a collective bargaining agreement covering the parties to the grievance so provides \[20 CFR 683.600(c)(3)\].

- An opportunity to appeal to the state level, using appropriate portions of the current ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 6.1 (Arkansas Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures for WIOA Title I Activities) when (1) no decision is reached within 60 days or (2) either party is dissatisfied with the local hearing decision \[20 CFR 683.600(c)(4)\].

Nothing in this policy precludes a grievant or complainant from pursuing a remedy authorized under another Federal, State, or local law \[20 CFR 683.600(h)\].
FROM: Charisse Childers, Ph.D., Director

TO: Local WIOA Workforce Development Area Administrators, Service Providers, One-Stop Operators, and other Sub-Recipients of WIOA title I funds from ADWS

SUBJECT: ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 5.2 (Arkansas WIOA Title I Debt Collection Policy and Procedures)

1. **Purpose**: The purpose of ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 5.2 is to explain the reasons and circumstances for the collection of debt due to disallowed costs and misspent funds from ADWS WIOA title I sub-recipients and to outline the procedures used in the collection of such debt.

2. **References**:
   - WIOA §184
   - 2 CFR 200
   - 31 CFR Part 900-904
   - 20 CFR 683
   - Current versions of:
     - Arkansas’ Monitoring and Oversight Procedures
     - ADWS Policy No. WIOA I - 6.1 (Arkansas Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures for WIOA Title I Activities)

3. **Background**: The Arkansas Division of Workforce Services (ADWS), as the grant recipient of WIOA title I funds, is responsible for oversight of WIOA title I sub-recipients and contractors, including monitoring; determining compliance with federal and state laws, regulations, guidance, and policies; and ensuring compliance with 2 CFR 200 [WIOA §184(a)(4); 20 CFR 683.410(a)]. ADWS, as the WIOA grant recipient and as the administrative entity of the Governor, is required to develop the State monitoring system and to take prompt corrective action when non-compliance is found [20 CFR 683.410-420].

4. **Policy**: ADWS, as the grant recipient of WIOA title I funds and as the administrative entity of the Governor in WIOA title I matters, will take measures to collect any debts associated with any misspent WIOA title I funds by sub-recipients. All misspent WIOA title I funds or disallowed costs must be repaid (including interest) with non-federal funds. Sanctions will be imposed on the local area or the grant sub-recipient if funds are not reimbursed in accordance with the procedures in ADWS Policy No. WIOA I - 5.2.

5. Attachment: ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 5.2 (Arkansas WIOA Title I Debt Collection Policy and Procedures)

6. Action Required: Please provide this information to all appropriate title I sub-recipient staff.

7. Inquiries: Email WIOATA@arkansas.gov

8. Expiration: Ongoing
Arkansas WIOA Title I Debt Collection Policy and Procedures

PURPOSE: The purpose of this policy is to explain the reasons and circumstances for the collection of debt due to disallowed costs and misspent funds from Arkansas Division of Workforce Services (ADWS) WIOA title I sub-recipients and to outline the procedures used in the collection of such debt. The policy and procedures were developed for local workforce development areas and any ADWS WIOA title I sub-recipient. Information using terms referring to local areas apply also to sub-recipients as appropriate. In addition, other programs administered by ADWS Employment Assistance, such as sub-recipients of discretionary grants or WIOA work-based learning grants, may be subject to the procedures described in this policy in order to collect debts due to disallowed costs and misspent funds.

REFERENCE:
WIOA (Pub. L. 113-128) §184
2 CFR 200
31 CFR Parts 900-904
20 CFR 683
Current versions of:
Arkansas’ Monitoring and Oversight Procedures
ADWS Policy No. WIOA I - 6.1 (Arkansas Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures for WIOA Title I Activities)

BACKGROUND: The Arkansas Division of Workforce Services (ADWS), as the grant recipient of WIOA title I funds, is responsible for monitoring and oversight of WIOA title I subrecipients and contractors. Monitoring includes determining compliance with federal and state laws, regulations, guidance, and policies and ensuring compliance with 2 CFR 200 [WIOA §184(a)(4); 20 CFR 683.410(a)]. ADWS, as the WIOA grant recipient and as the administrative entity of the Governor, is required to develop the State monitoring system and to take prompt corrective action when non-compliance is found [20 CFR 683.410-420].

ADWS is responsible for establishing fiscal controls and for monitoring to ensure that all financial transactions carried out under their WIOA title I grants and sub-grants are conducted and records are maintained in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles [WIOA § 184(a)(1)]. When a local area is not in compliance with WIOA law, WIOA regulations, or the uniform administrative requirements, ADWS is responsible for requiring prompt corrective actions and repayment of misspent funds [WIOA § 184(a)(5); 20 CFR 683.410-420].
Federal regulations require ADWS to impose sanctions as provided in WIOA §184(b-c) if the subrecipient does not take the required corrective actions [20 CFR 683.410(b)(5)].

ADWS, as the grant recipient and the Governor’s administrative entity, is responsible for all funds awarded to the agency under WIOA title I and is responsible for imposing corrective actions on the local areas when funds are not appropriately spent. ADWS is also responsible for collecting the repayment of misspent funds [20 CFR 683.710(a)]. Failure on the part of ADWS to collect misspent funds and/or to correct unacceptable situations in local areas will result in sanctions for the State of Arkansas imposed by the U.S. Department of Labor [20 CFR 683.700(b)]. In addition, if the State of Arkansas does not impose corrective actions on the local areas, the Grant Officer of the U.S. Department of Labor may impose actions directly against the local area or other sub-recipient [20 CFR 683.700(c,e)].

The local area’s chief elected official(s) is liable for any misuse of the WIOA title I grant funds allocated to the local area by ADWS. When a local area is composed of more than one unit of general local government, the liability of the individual jurisdictions must be specified in a written agreement between the chief elected officials (CEOs). When there is a change in the chief elected official(s), the local workforce development board (LWDB) is required to inform the new CEO(s), in a timely manner, of their responsibilities and liabilities, as well as the need to review and update any written agreements among the CEOs. The use of a fiscal agent does not relieve the CEO(s) of responsibility for any misuse of WIOA title I grant funds [20 CFR 683.710(b)].

POLICY: ADWS, as the grant recipient of WIOA title I funds and as the administrative entity of the Governor in WIOA title I matters, will take measures to collect any debts associated with any misspent WIOA title I funds by sub-grantees. All misspent WIOA title I funds or disallowed costs must be repaid (including interest) with non-federal funds [2 CFR 200.410]. Sanctions will be imposed on the local area or the grant sub-recipient if funds are not reimbursed in accordance with the procedures below.

PROCEDURES:  

Step 1: Questioned costs may be identified during a State monitoring visit, a desk review, a monitoring visit from the U.S Department of Labor or its representative(s), an investigation concerning a complaint, or through any other process. Questioned costs will be reported to the local area, and the local area will be given an opportunity to explain or correct the costs, as explained in Arkansas’ Monitoring and Oversight Procedures.

An initial monitoring report or other official correspondence containing information about questioned costs will be sent to the local Workforce Development Board (LWDB) Director, the LWDB Chairperson, and the Chairperson of the Chief Elected Officials (CEOs). Included with the report will be a timeframe for response by the local area.

In compliance with Arkansas’ Monitoring and Oversight Procedures, the local area must respond to a monitoring report or other official correspondence containing information about questioned costs within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of receipt of such correspondence or as otherwise stated in the correspondence. Through this response, the local area may provide clarification or other justification of the questioned costs. The local area may also report actions taken to correct activities that led to the questioned costs and repay the questioned costs with non-federal funds during this time.

If, after two (2) such responses from the local area or other sub-recipient, the local area or sub-recipient cannot justify or correct the questioned costs or does not repay the questioned costs within the time frame giving in the correspondence, a final determination report of disallowed costs (also called Final Determination) or other correspondence establishing the debt will be sent to the above individuals in addition to the other
CEOs. LWDB staff must share the information with all LWDB members. Disallowed costs are expected to be repaid, with interest, within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of the Final Determination or other correspondence establishing the debt.

In accordance with 2 CFR 200.410, 2 CFR 200.346, and the Federal Claims Collection Standard (31 CFR parts 900 – 999), interest will accrue from the date of the Final Determination or other correspondence establishing the debt at the same rate of 10% per annum, compounded monthly as the U.S. Department of Labor charges the states.

Actions that contributed to the disallowed costs will also be detailed in the Final Determination or correspondence. These detailed actions must be changed immediately to avoid further disallowed costs. Local areas or other sub-recipients will be monitored to ensure that such actions have been changed and that no further disallowed costs have accumulated.

Appeals may be made as described in the current version of ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 6.1 (Arkansas Grievance, Complaint, and Appeals Procedures for Title I Activities). If an appeal is filed within ten (10) calendar days of the date of the Final Determination or other notice establishing debt and in accordance with the current version of ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 6.1, the collection process will be delayed until the completion of the appeal process. Interest, as stated above, will continue to accrue during the appeal process. during this time and will be added to the debt if the appeal is not successful.

ADWS will accept the following repayment options (all repayment must be from non-federal funds):

- Cash Repayment:
  - “Cash” is defined as a lump-sum payment of the entire debt, usually in the form of a check.
  - Cash is the preferred method of repayment. Settlement of debts on a non-cash basis will be by exception.
  - If the debt resulted from fraud, malfeasance, or other serious violations or illegal acts, repayment must be in cash. No other option is available.
  - Repayment of the debt in cash must occur within thirty (30) calendar days of the receipt of the Final Determination or other notice establishing the debt.

- Installment Payments:
  - An installment repayment agreement may be negotiated with ADWS when the sub-recipient is unable to make restitution in full within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Final Determination or other notice establishing debt.
  - The installment repayment agreement is generally of short duration (3 - 12 months, depending on the size of the debt and the sub-recipient’s ability to pay), but it may be as long as 36 months, in compliance with the Federal Claims Collection Standards (31 CFR Part 900-904) and at the discretion of the Director of ADWS.
  - This method of repayment is not offered where debts result from fraud, malfeasance, misappropriation of funds, or other serious violations or illegal acts.
  - Interest on the unpaid balance will continue to accrue until the debt is paid in full.
• Stand-in Costs:
  o Stand-in costs are expenses that could have been charged to WIOA title I, but the expenses were paid with non-federal funds instead. Such expenditures must have been reported in the monthly expenditures report in the AWIS Financial System during the month each expenditure occurred.
  o The use of stand-in costs may be considered as a substitute for disallowed costs in audit or other debt resolution situations.
  o Stand-in costs must be substituted within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of the Final Determination or other notice establishing debt.
  o Interest will accrue during the time between the date of the Final Determination or other notice establishing debt until the stand-in costs are substituted.

Step 2: If the debt is not repaid or if acceptable payment arrangements are not made within thirty (30) calendar days of the receipt of the Final Determination or other notification establishing debt, a Debt Collection Notification will be sent to the local area, with copies to the Chairperson of the LWDB and all Chief Elected Officials (or appropriate representatives of other sub-recipients) by letter or email. (If an appeal is made, the Debt Collection Notification will be sent within 10 days of the date of the decision of the appeal.) The LWDB staff must send the notification to all other LWDB members. The notification will state that payment is due from the local area within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of receipt of the Debt Collection Notification. The ADWS Director may require more immediate action if, in the opinion of the Director or a designated appointee, the situation requires more immediate action.

Step 3: If payments are not made or repayment options are not negotiated within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt the Debt Collection Notification, a written notice, in the form of a non-compliance letter, will be sent to the local area or sub-recipient, including the LWDB members and the Chief Elected Officials (or appropriate representatives of other sub-recipients), stating special conditions or sanctions that will be imposed if funds are not repaid as required and the timeframe for the repayment of these funds. These sanctions may include, but are not limited to:
  • Temporarily withholding funds until the disallowed costs are repaid [2 CFR 200.339(a)]
  • Placing funds to the local area or other sub-recipient on a reimbursement basis rather than on advanced basis until the funds are repaid [2 CFR 200.208(c)(1)]
  • Requiring additional, more detailed financial reports [2 CFR 200.208(c)(3)]
  • Requiring additional project monitoring [2 CFR 200.208(c)(4)]
  • Requiring the sub-grantee to obtain technical or management assistance [2 CFR 200.208(c)(5)]
  • Establishing prior approvals for expenditures [2 CFR 200.208(c)(6)]
  • Wholly or partly suspending or terminating the Federal award [2 CFR 200.339(c)]
  • Withholding further Federal awards for the project or program [2 CFR 200.339(e)]
  • Other corrective actions described in 2 CFR 200.208 or 2 CFR 200.339

Step 4: If disallowed costs are not repaid as stated in the Debt Collection Notification, then the sanctions listed in the Debt Collection Notification will be imposed. Examples of actions that may be taken are included above in Step 3.
Step 5: The Governor is required by WIOA § 184(a) and 20 CFR 683.410(b)(5) to impose the sanctions listed in WIOA 184(b)(1) if the corrective actions taken in Step 4 do not result in the repayment of the debt. If disallowed costs are not repaid in accordance with the procedures described above, ADWS, as the pass-through entity for WIOA title I funds and the WIOA title I administrative entity for the Governor, must impose one of the two the sanctions required in WIOA §184(b)(1):

- Issue a notice of intent to revoke approval of all or part of the local plan affected; or
- Impose a reorganization plan, which may include:
  - decertifying the local board involved;
  - prohibiting the use of eligible providers;
  - selecting an alternative entity to administer the program for the local area involved;
  - merging the local area into one or more other areas; or
  - making other such changes as the Director of ADWS determines necessary to secure compliance with WIOA law, regulations, guidance, and the uniform guidance, as well as State law, policies, and issuances.

Similar actions will be taken against sub-recipients that are not local areas.

In accordance with 20 CFR 683.650(a), the imposed sanctions under Step 4 will not become effective until either time for an appeal to the Secretary of the Department of Labor has expired (30 days after the receipt of the notice that the plan will be revoked or the reorganization will occur) or the Secretary of the Department of Labor has issued a decision concerning the appeal, whichever comes first.
Arkansas Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures for WIOA Title I Activities

I. Purpose:
The purpose of this policy is to outline the grievance and appeal procedures for WIOA title I activities administered by the Arkansas Division of Workforce Services (ADWS).

II. Reference:
WIOA §§ 106, 116(g)(2)(A), 121(h), 122(c)(1), 181(c), & 188
2 CFR 200
29 CFR 37.35
29 CFR Part 38
TEGL 37-14
TEN 1-17
Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. 6101 et seq.)
Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.)
Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.)

III. Background:
Each State and direct recipient funds under title I of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act of 2014 (WIOA), except for Job Corps, is mandated to establish and maintain a procedure for grievances and complaints alleging violations of the requirements of WIOA title I from participants and other interested or affected parties [WIOA § 181(c)(1); 20 CFR 683.600(a)]. (The Job Corps procedure for grievances, complaints, and appeals is given in 20 CFR 686.960 and 965.)

Although there are some connotations of differences between the terms “grievance” and “complaint,” the two words are used interchangeably in this policy. No inference may be taken concerning the meaning of the two terms, even when only one is used.
A. Each local area, State, and direct recipient of WIOA title I funds must [20 CFR 683.600(b)]:
   1. Provide information about the content of the grievance and complaint procedures to participants and other interested parties affected by the local workforce development system, including Arkansas Workforce Center partners and service providers;
   2. Require that every entity to which it awards WIOA title I funds to provide the appropriate information in this policy and procedures to participants receiving WIOA title I-funded services from such entities; and
   3. Make reasonable efforts to ensure that the grievance and complaint procedures information is understood by affected participants and other individuals, including youth and those who are limited-English speaking individuals. Such efforts must comply with the requirements of 29 CFR 37.35 regarding the provision of services and information in languages other than English.

B. The Arkansas State Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedure provides:
   1. A process for dealing with grievances and complaints from participants and other interested parties affected by the statewide WIOA title I system, including Arkansas Workforce Center partners and service providers [20 CFR 683.600(d)(1)];
   2. A process for resolving appeals made to the State from the LWDB process [20 CFR 683.600(d)(2)];
   3. A process for remanding grievances and complaints related to the local WIOA programs to the local area grievance process [20 CFR 683.600(d)(3)];
   4. An opportunity for a decision by a hearing officer appointed by the Director of ADWS and a hearing, if requested, to be completed within 60 days of the filing of the grievance or complaint [20 CFR 683.600(d)(4)]; and
   5. An opportunity for appeal to the Secretary of Labor when:
      a. No decision has been reached within 60 days of the receipt of the complaint, grievance, or appeal request and either party wants to extend the grievance, complaint, or appeal to the Secretary of Labor; or
      b. A decision has been reached and the party to which the decision is adverse wants to appeal the decision to the Secretary of Labor [WIOA § 181(c)(2)(A); 20 CFR 683.600(d)(5) & 683.610(a)].
   6. A summary of the full policy that explains the rights and responsibilities of individuals who wish to file complaints, grievances, and appeals with the State. Sub-recipients of Arkansas WIOA title I funds must give this document must be given to each participant and to all other individuals who request such information. Local areas may also translate the summary into other languages as appropriate [20 CFR 683.600(b)].

IV. Arkansas State Procedures for Grievances, Complaints, and Appeals for WIOA Title I Activities, other than Title I-D Job Corps
Except as otherwise designated, these procedures are applicable only for WIOA title I activities administered by the Arkansas Division of Workforce Services (ADWS). Grievance and complaint procedures for other WIOA Programs, such as Job Corps, Adult Education and Literacy, Employment Assistance authorized under the Wagner Peyser Act, and Vocational Rehabilitation Services may be obtained from these respective entities. Although these procedures apply to complaints and grievances concerning one-stop delivery systems (WIOA title I-B), complaints will be referred to appropriate partners and their procedures if appropriate.

A. What guidelines will be followed notifying individuals and entities concerning their right to appeal and the appeal process [20 CFR 683.600]? 
   1. The entire grievance procedure, including the DOL Complaint/Apparent Violation Form (ETA 8429) and a summarized procedure for individuals are posted in the appropriate section of
the WIOA Title I Policy Manual located on the ADWS website at

2. Information from this policy is included in other appropriate policies, requests for
proposals or qualifications, opportunities for grants, and other applicable
announcements.

3. The attached summary must be given to all individuals who participate in WIOA title I
activities funded through ADWS. The summary must be displayed in all Arkansas Workforce
Centers, and it must be made available to any individual who requests the information. The
summary may be translated into other languages, read to individuals, or produced in other
forms as required to be understood by all affected individual [20 CFR 683.600(b)].

B. What general guidelines apply to all complaints, grievances, and appeals?

1. If a grievance or complaint is filed with the Director of ADWS that, in the opinion of the
Director, should first be filed with a local WIOA title I-B program provider, a WIOA partner, or
a LWDB, that grievance will be remanded to the appropriate entity to be processed there.
Information concerning the appropriate actions will be sent to the complainant or informant
with the acknowledgement of the complaint. Contact with an individual complainant may be
made by letter, telephone, or email to determine the details of the complaint, to give
guidance concerning proper grievance procedures, and/or to attempt to direct the individual
to the correct entity so that an informal decision may be reached.

2. The complainant’s statement should be as complete as possible. The complainant should use
the attached DOL Complaint/Apparent Violation Form (ETA 8429) if possible, but the
complaint may be in any form. The complaint should include, if possible:
   a. The full name and contact information (telephone number, email address, and or physical
      address), of the person making the complaint;
   b. The full name and address (personal or business) of the person or entity against whom the
      complaint is made;
   c. A clear and concise statement of the facts, including pertinent dates, constituting the
      alleged violation;
   d. The provisions of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), WIOA regulation,
      grant, agreement, law, state policy, and/or local policy believed to have been violated, if
      appropriate;
   e. A statement of attempts to resolve the issue at the local level, if appropriate; and
   f. A statement disclosing whether proceedings involving the subject of the request have been
      commenced or concluded before any federal, state, or local authority, and if so, the date of
      the commencement or conclusion and the name and address of the authority.

3. Time limitations of complaints, grievances, and appeals are listed in the sections of each
   particular type of complaint.

4. Within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of the complaint/grievance/appeal, the Director of
ADWS or his/her designee will acknowledge receipt of the grievance. The acknowledgement of
receipt will:
   a. Provide a synopsis of the issues to be decided;
   b. Outline the steps to be taken to resolve the matter, including an attempt to reach an
      informal resolution; and
   c. Notify all parties of the right to request a hearing.
5. The following procedures will apply to a hearing, if requested:
   a. The hearing will be informal. Technical rules of evidence will not apply. Hearsay evidence will be admissible at the discretion of the hearing officer.
   b. Hearings will be held at a time and place determined by the Director of ADWS or his/her designee after reasonable written notice has been sent to the parties and the witnesses.
   c. The party requesting the hearing will have the burden of establishing the facts and the entitlement to the relief requested.
   d. Either party may be represented by an attorney or other representative, but no such representative is required.
   e. Either party may bring witnesses and documentary evidence.
   f. The respondent will cooperate by making available any person under his or her control or employ to testify, if appropriate, and to release requested documents relevant to the issue after the requesting party has established that such testimony/documentation is relative and not cumulative.
   g. Either party or representative will have the opportunity to question any witnesses.
   h. A recording will be made of the proceedings.
   i. A written decision will be made by the Director of ADWS or his/her designee within 60 days of receipt of the grievance or complaint [20 CFR 683.600(d)(4)].

6. If a hearing is not requested, the Director of ADWS or his/her designee will conduct an administrative fact-finding investigation. The investigation will include:
   a. Opportunities for all parties to submit an in-depth position statement, including documentary supportive data and/or records;
   b. Access to and review of appropriate official records; and
   c. A written decision, to be made by the Director of ADWS or designee within 60 days of receipt of the grievance or complaint [20 CFR 683.600(d)(4) & 683.610(a)(1)].

7. A written decision will contain the following:
   a. Statement assuring that all steps included in the grievance/complaint procedures have been adhered to;
   b. Issue(s) being decided;
   c. Statement of facts;
   d. Reasons for the decision;
   e. Remedies to be offered, if appropriate;
   f. Summary; and
   g. Advisement of the right to appeal the decision, if allowed for the particular type of complaint/grievance/appeal. (Information about appeals is provided in this policy for each type of complaint/grievance/appeal.)

8. Nothing in this policy precludes a grievant or complainant from pursuing a remedy authorized under Federal, State, or local law [20 CFR 683.600(h)].

9. Variances to these general guidelines are given as applicable in the portions of this policy concerning particular types of grievances, complaints, and appeals.
C. Who may file a grievance, complaint, or appeal; and what are the regulations for filing an appeal under WIOA title I programs, except for Job Corps; and what procedures, policies, and regulations apply?

1. An individual who has been discriminated against because of race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy, childbirth, and related medical conditions; and transgender status, gender identity, and sex stereotyping), national origin (including limited English proficiency), age (except as required by law), disability, political affiliation or belief, citizenship status as a lawfully admitted immigrant authorized to work in the United States, or participation in a WIOA title I-financially assisted program or activity [WIOA § 188; 29 CFR Part 38; 20 CFR 652.8(j), 653.501(d)(2), 658.411, 658.450(b)(2), 683.285, 683.420, 683.410, 683.600(g)(1), 685.140, & 688.500; TEGL 37-14]:
   a. WIOA prohibits discrimination or denial of participation on the basis of age under the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. 6101 et seq.); on the basis of disability under section 504 H. R. 803—174 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794); on the basis of sex under title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.) or the Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978, which amended title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; or on the basis of race, color, or national origin under title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.). Programs and activities funded or otherwise financially assisted in whole or in part under WIOA are considered to be programs and activities receiving Federal financial assistance, even if individuals do not receive assistance in the form of money.
   b. Participation in programs and activities or receiving funds under WIOA title I must be available to citizens and nationals of the United States, lawfully admitted permanent resident aliens, refugees, asylees, and parolees, and other immigrants authorized by the Attorney General to work in the United States, using eligibility requirements for each program.
   c. No person may discriminate against an individual who is a participant in a WIOA program or activity, with respect to the terms and conditions affecting, or rights provided to, the individual, solely because of the status of the individual as a participant.
   d. Participants shall not be employed under WIOA title I to carry out the construction, operation, or maintenance of any part of any facility that is used or to be used for sectarian instruction or as a place for religious worship (except with respect to the maintenance of a facility that is not primarily or inherently devoted to sectarian instruction or religious worship, in a case in which the organization operating the facility is part of a program or activity providing services to participants).
   e. Individuals who have experienced discrimination or denied services based on a – d above should follow the procedures in the poster “Equal Opportunity is the Law” posted in each Arkansas Workforce Center.
   f. If no such poster is displayed and an employee of the Arkansas Workforce Center cannot provide the poster, contact the WIOA Equal Opportunity Manager of the Arkansas Division of Workforce Services (ADWS) at:
      WIOA Equal Opportunity Manager
      PO Box 2981
      Little Rock, AR 72203
      Telephone: 501-682-1418
      Arkansas Relay Services: 1-800-285-1131

2. A participant or other interested party who has submitted a complaint or grievance at the local level and who has not received a decision within 60 days or is dissatisfied with the local decision [WIOA §181 (c)(1); 20 CFR 683.600, 683.610]:
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a. The appeal must be sent no later than 30 days after the local decision. If no decision was given at the local level, the appeal must be sent no later than 90 days after the filing of the local complaint. The appeal must be sent to ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov.
b. An investigation will be done, and an informal resolution will be made within 60 days of receipt of the appeal. If the complainant requests, the resolution procedure will include an informal hearing.
c. If a decision has not been reached in 60 days, either party may file an appeal to the Secretary of Labor no later than 120 days after filing the appeal with the State. If one party is dissatisfied with the decision, an appeal may be made to the Secretary of Labor no later than 60 days after receipt of the appeal decision. The Secretary of Labor will make a decision no later than 120 days after receiving the appeal. The appeal must be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Secretary of Labor at the following address:

Secretary
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Ave.
NW. Washington, DC
20210 Attention: ASET

When an appeal is sent to the U.S. Secretary of Labor, a copy of the appeal must be simultaneously provided to the ETA Regional Administrator and the opposing party. The address for the ETA Regional Administrator for Arkansas is:

Regional Administrator
U.S. Department of Labor/ETA
525 Griffin Street, Room 317
Dallas, TX 75202

3. A participant or other interested party who has a complaint or grievance concerning a statewide WIOA program [WIOA §181 (c)(1); 20 CFR 683.600 & 683.610]:

The grievance or complaint must be sent no later than 60 days after the date of the occurrence to ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov.

a. DOL complaint/Apparent Violation Form (ETA 8429) should be used for the complaint, if possible, and the complaint should follow the guidelines in Section IV.B.2. of this policy.
b. If a grievance or complaint is filed with the State that, in the opinion of the Director of ADWS, should first be filed with the local workforce development board or service provider or with a particular one-stop partner, that grievance or complaint will be remanded to the administrator or director of the appropriate entity to be processed there. This decision will be made within 30 days of receiving the grievance/complaint. Notification of remanding will be sent to the complainant or informant.
c. If the grievance or complaint should properly be addressed at the State level, an investigation will be done, and an informal resolution will be made within 60 days of receipt of the appeal. If the complainant requests, the resolution procedure will include a hearing.
d. If a decision has not been reached in 60 days or if one party is dissatisfied with the decision, an appeal may be made as described in IV.C.2.c. of these procedures.

4. A unit of local government that has requested designation as a local area but has been denied such designation [WIOA § 106; 20 CFR 679.290, 683.630 & 683.640]:

a. A unit of general local government (including a combination of such units) or grant recipient that requests, but is not granted, initial or subsequent designation of an area as a
local area under WIOA § 106(b)(2), WIOA § 106(b)(5), or 20 CFR 679.250 may submit an appeal to the Arkansas Workforce Development Board (State Board) within 30 days of the decision not to grant such request.

b. The appeal must be sent to: ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov.

c. The State Board will investigate the appeal, including conducting a hearing in accordance with the rules outlined in Section IV.B.5 of this policy, and the State Board will make a decision within 60 days of the receipt of the appeal.

d. If the appeal does not result in designation, an appeal may be made to the Secretary of Labor within 30 days of the appeal decision of the State Board. This appeal must be submitted by certified mail, return receipt requested, to Secretary of Labor at the address in Section IV.C.2.c of this policy, with a copy to the ETA Regional Administrator at the address in Section IV.C.2.c of this policy.

e. A copy of the appeal must be simultaneously provided to the State Board at ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov. The appellant must establish that it was not accorded procedural rights under the appeal process set forth in this policy or establish that it meets the requirements for designation in WIOA § 106(b)(2) or WIOA § 106(b)(3), and also in 20 CFR 679.250. If the Secretary determines that the appellant has met the burden of establishing that it was not accorded procedural rights under this appeal process, or that it meets the requirements for designation listed in this paragraph, the Secretary may require that the area be designated as a local area. In making the determination, the Secretary may consider any comments submitted by the Arkansas Workforce Development Board in response to the appeal. The Secretary will issue a written decision to the Governor and the appellant [WIOA § 106(b)(5); 20 CFR 679.290 & 683.640].

5. A local area or other grant sub-recipient that does not agree with the findings of a monitoring report or audit [20 CFR 683 Part D]:

a. The Governor must monitor LWDBs annually for compliance with applicable laws and regulations in accordance with the State monitoring system developed by the Governor in compliance with 20 CFR 683.410(b) [20 CFR 683.400(c)(2)]. Regular monitoring must be on-site reviews to ensure that local areas are achieving the objectives of WIOA, are following regulations of WIOA, and are in compliance with 2 CFR part 200 [20 CFR 683.410(b)]. Documentation of monitoring, including monitoring reports and audit work papers must be available for review by the Secretary of Labor, the Governor, or a representative of the Federal government authorized to request the information [20 CFR 683.400(d)].

b. The Governor must require that prompt corrective action be taken for any substantial violations of the standards and regulations of WIOA and 2 CFR 200, including corrective actions described in 2 CFR 200.207 and 200.338, as well as State laws and policies. The governor must impose sanctions if a local area fails to take the required corrected action [20 CFR 683.410(b), 2 CFR 200]

c. The Arkansas Division of Workforce Services (ADWS), as the direct grant recipient, is the entity responsible for monitoring subgrant recipients. ADWS is also responsible for resolving findings that arise from the monitoring reviews, investigations, and audits of all funds awarded [20 CFR 683.420(a)].

d. The Secretary of Labor is responsible for monitoring grant funds provided to ADWS [20 CFR 683.420(b)].

e. The resolution of findings of subgrant recipients, except for non-discrimination findings, will be made in accordance with the procedures outlined by ADWS and provided to local areas.
f. If, after repeated attempts at resolving issues, corrective action is determined to be inadequate and findings are unresolved, special conditions or sanctions may be imposed on the local area, as allowed under standards and regulations of WIOA and 2 CFR 200, including corrective actions described in 2 CFR 200.208 for “Specific Conditions” and 200.339 “Remedies for Non-Compliance.”

g. Except for determinations involving the revoking of the local plan or reorganization of the local area program providers, the local area or other grant sub-recipient may appeal the final determination report within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of the monitoring final determination. This appeal must be sent to ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov.

h. The following documentation must be included with the appeal:
   - The local area or monitored entity must formally appeal the decision of the monitoring report in writing by submitting an official letter (on letterhead) explaining the purpose for the appeal. The letter must clearly identify each finding being appealed by the local area or monitored entity.
   - Copies of the original supporting documentation provided to ADWS during the monitoring process. The original documentation should demonstrate how the local area or monitored entity met the required federal and/or state regulation(s) inclusive of citations from Federal and/or State Regulations.

i. The hearing officer will conduct an investigation, including a hearing if requested, and will reach a decision within 60 days [20 CFR 683.600(d)(4)]. If a decision has not been reached in 60 days or if either party wishes to appeal the decision to the Department of Labor, either party may file an appeal to the Secretary of Labor no later than 60 days after the receipt of the decision of the hearing officer or time for the hearing officer to make a decision has expired [20 CFR 683.600(d)(5)]. The request to the Secretary of Labor must follow the process outlined in IV.C.2.c. of these procedures, including sending a copy to the ETA Regional Administrator.

j. If the sanctions involve the revoking of the local plan or reorganization of the local area program providers, the procedure in Section IV.C.6 of this policy must be followed.

k. No later than ten (10) calendar days after the final action on the grievance or the notification of imposed sanctions (if no appeal is made), copies of the report, responses and other related written communication will be mailed or emailed to the Local Workforce Development Board Chairperson and Executive Director.

l. Findings arising from investigations or reviews conducted under nondiscrimination laws will be referred to the state EO officer at the address in IV.C.1.f. of this policy and will be resolved in accordance with WIOA § 188 and the Department of Labor nondiscrimination regulations, codified at 29 CFR 38 [20 CFR 683.420].

6. A local area that has been found in substantial violation of WIOA title I or has failed to meet local performance indicators for 3 consecutive program years, and has received a notice from the Governor that either all or part of the local plan will be revoked or that a reorganization will occur [WIOA §§ 116(g)(2)(A) & 184(b); 20 CFR 361.225, 463.225, 677.225, 683.410, & 683.650]:

a. If the local area has been found in substantial violation of WIOA title I and has received notice from the Governor that either all or part of the local plan will be revoked or that a reorganization will occur as described in WIOA §184(b)(1), the local area may appeal the sanctions to the Secretary of Labor at the address in Section IV.C.2.c. of this policy, with a copy sent to the ETA Regional Administrator at the address in Section IV.C.2.c. The appeal must be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, and a copy of the appeal must be simultaneously provided to the Director of ADWS at ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov. The appeal must be filed no later than 30 days after receipt of written notification of the
revoked plan or imposed reorganization. The Secretary will notify the Governor and the
appellant in writing of the Secretary’s decision within 45 days after receipt of the
appeal. In making the decision, the Secretary may consider any comments submitted by
the Governor in response to the appeal. The imposed sanctions do not become effective
until either time for the appeal has expired or the Secretary has issued a decision
concerning the appeal [20 CFR 683.650(a)].

b. If the local area has failed to meet local performance indicators for three (3) consecutive
program years and has received a notice from the Governor or his/her selected designee of
intent to impose a reorganization plan, the LWDB and chief elected official(s) for the local
area may jointly appeal to the Governor to rescind or revise the reorganization. The appeal
must be sent to the Director of ADWS at ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov no later than
30 days after notice of the reorganization plan. The appeal must be jointly filed by the
LWDB and the chief elected official(s). The Governor or designee must make a final
decision no later than 30 days after receipt of the appeal. An appeal of the Governor’s final
decision may be submitted by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Secretary of
Labor at the address in Section IV.C.2.c. of this policy, no later than 30 days after receiving
the decision of the Governor. A copy of the appeal must be simultaneously sent to the
Governor through the Director of ADWS at ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov and the
ETA Regional Administration at the address in Section IV.C.2.c. of this policy. The decision
of the Governor on the appeal becomes effective at the time it is issued and remains
effective unless the Secretary of Labor rescinds or revises the reorganization plan under
WIOA §116(g)(2)(C). The Secretary of Labor must make a final decision within 30 days of
receipt of the joint appeal. In making the final decision, the Secretary of Labor may
consider any comments submitted by the Governor in response to the appeal [20 CFR
683.650(c) & 677.225].

7. An Arkansas Workforce Center partner that believes the determination of the partner’s portion
of funds to be provided for one-stop infrastructure costs is unfair [WIOA § 121(h)(2)(E); 20 CFR
361.750, 463.735, 463.738, 463.750, & 678.750]:

a. A required Arkansas Workforce Center partner may appeal a determination regarding the
portion of funds to be provided to operate the Arkansas Workforce Center system on the
basis that such determination is inconsistent with the requirements in WIOA § 121(h); the
proportionate share requirements in 20 CFR 361.735(a), 463.735(a), or 678.735(a); the
cost contributions limitations in 20 CFR 361.735(b), 463.735(b), or 678.735(a); or the cost
contribution caps in 20 CFR 361.738, 463.738, or 678.738; or the issuances of the Arkansas
Division of Workforce Services (ADWS).

b. The appeal must be made no later than 21 calendar days after the determination
regarding the infrastructure funding, and it must be sent to
ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov.

c. The designated hearing officer will investigate the appeal, including conducting a hearing
in accordance with the rules outlined in Section IV.B.5. of this policy and make a decision
within 60 days of the receipt of the appeal. The decision will include instructions to the
appropriate party for redistribution of funds, depending on the facts. This decision will be
consistent with the requirements of 20 CFR 683.630 and the issuances of ADWS. If
appropriate, a state funding mechanism may be developed.

d. If the appeal to the state results in a change to the infrastructure costs, the Infrastructure
Funding Agreement (IFA) portion of the One-Stop Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
must be updated to reflect the final partner infrastructure cost contributions [20 CFR 361.500, 463.500, & 678.500].

8. A training provider (including a provider of on-the-job training) that has been denied eligibility or has had its eligibility terminated [WIOA § 122(c)(1); 20 CFR 680.480 & 683.630(b)];

a. An eligible training provider (ETP) who has been denied eligibility or has had its eligibility terminated must follow the appeal procedure in ADWS Policy No. WIOA I-B – 4.5 (Arkansas Division of Workforce Services Eligible Training Provider Policy and Procedures).

b. Other training providers may appeal the denial or termination within ten (10) calendar days from the date of receipt of notice of denial or termination to ADWS.WIOAAppeals.com.

c. The hearing officer will investigate the appeal, including conducting a hearing in accordance with the rules outlined in Section IV.B.5. of this policy and make a decision within 60 days of the receipt of the appeal [20 CFR 683.630(b)(2)].

d. A decision under this State appeal process may not be appealed to the Secretary of Labor [20 CFR 683.630(b)].

9. Anyone with knowledge of criminal activity, including fraud and abuse, under the WIOA system [20 CFR 683.200 (h) & 683.620; 2 CFR 200.113 & 200.338];

a. Information and complaints involving criminal fraud, waste, abuse, or other criminal activity must be reported immediately through the Department of Labor’s Incident Reporting System:

   Department of Labor Office of Inspector General
   Office of Investigations
   Room S5514
   200 Constitution Avenue NW
   Washington, DC 20210

   Or to the corresponding Regional Inspector General for Investigations
   Or to the Hotline number at 1-800-347-3756
   Or through the Web site: www.oig.dol.gov/contact.htm.

b. If information is sent to an Inspector General, a copy should be simultaneously sent to:

   Employment and Training Administration
   US Department of Labor
   200 Constitution Avenue NW
   Washington, DC 20210

c. All recipients of WIOA title I awards must disclose in a timely manner and in writing to the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosure can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR 200.338, including withholding of funds, suspension or termination of the award, suspension, or disbarment.
FROM: Charisse Childers, Ph.D., Director

TO: Local WIOA Workforce Development Area Administrators, Service Providers, and One-Stop Operators

SUBJECT: ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 6.1, Change 3 (Arkansas Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures for WIOA Title I Activities)

1. **Purpose:** The purpose of this issuance is to describe the changes made in ADWS Policy No. WIOA I - 6.1, Change 3 from the previous version of the procedures.

2. **References:**
   See ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 6.1, Change 3 for a list of references.

3. **Background:** Each State must establish and maintain a procedure for participants and other interested parties to file grievances and complaints concerning WIOA title I activities and to appeal decisions made concerning WIOA title I. This policy details Arkansas’ procedures for such complaints, grievances, and appeals.

4. **Changes Made from ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 6.1, Change 2 and ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 6.1, Change 3:**
   a. Added a one-page summary of the procedures for complaints and appeals of individuals, in compliance with 20 CFR 683.600(b)(3). The summary must be displayed in all Arkansas Workforce Centers. It may be translated by local areas to other languages, read to individuals or produced in other form a required to be understood by all affected individuals. Every entity to which ADWS give WIOA title I funds must ensure that all participants receive this summary (20 CFR 200.600(b)(2)). The summary must also be available to any other individual who request information about submitting a complaint or appeal to the State. Sub-recipient must also help entities who request information who need other information in the policy to locate the complete policy on the ADWS website.
   b. Removed the requirement to send and receive information through certified mail, return receipt requested (except to the Secretary of Labor, as required in 20 CFR 683). All complaints, grievances, and appeals for the State must be sent to ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov.
   c. Removed requirements for local complaint, grievance, and appeal procedures (currently in WIOA Policy No. WIOA I-B 4.3, Change 1 (Requirements for Local Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures))
   d. Shortened title
   e. Made non-substantive corrections

5. **Additional Information:** The attached policy is placed in the ADWS Title I Policy Manual at
https://dws.arkansas.gov/workforce-services/programs/workforce-innovation-wioa/

6. **Attachment**: ADWS Policy No. WIOA I – 6.1, Change 3 (Arkansas Grievance, Complaint, and Appeal Procedures for WIOA Title I Activities) and the DOL Complaint/Apparent Violation Form (ETA 8429)

7. **Action Required**: Please provide this information to all appropriate title I sub-recipient staff.

8. **Inquiries**: Email WIOATA@arkansas.gov

9. **Expiration**: Ongoing
# Complaint/Apparent Violation Form

**Part I. Contact Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaint/Apparent Violation No.</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Complainant/(Last, First, Middle Initial)</th>
<th>Name of Person, Company, or Agency the Complaint is Made Against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permanent Address (No., St., City, State, Zip Code)</th>
<th>Name of Employer (if different from Part I #4 above) /One-Stop Office</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temporary Address (if Appropriate)</th>
<th>Address of Employer/One-Stop Office</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permanent Telephone</th>
<th>Temporary Telephone</th>
<th>Telephone Number of Employer/One-Stop Office</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( ) -</td>
<td>( ) -</td>
<td>( ) -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of Complaint or Apparent Violation**

(If additional space is needed, use separate sheet(s) of paper and attach to this form)

**Certification**

I CERTIFY that the information furnished is true and accurately stated to the best of my knowledge. I AUTHORIZE the disclosure of this information to other enforcement agencies for the proper investigation of my complaint. I UNDERSTAND that my identity will be kept confidential to the maximum extent possible, consistent with applicable law and a fair determination of my complaint.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature of Complainant</th>
<th>Date Signed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/ /</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 For information regarding complaints that are covered through the Employment Service and Employment-Related Law Complaint System see 20 CFR 658 Subpart E.
2 If the Complaint/Apparent Violation Form is used to submit an Apparent Violation, the name of the Complainant is not necessary and may remain anonymous. Parts 2a and 2b also do not need to be filled out if the form is used for an Apparent Violation.
3 For definition of “Respondent” see 20 CFR 651.10.
4 Pursuant to 658.400(d), “A complainant may designate an individual to act as his/her representative.” If the complainant has a designated representative, the name and contact information of the designated representative must be provided in 8b.
5 No signature is required at Part 9 if this form is submitted as an Apparent Violation. If the form is submitted as a complaint and a designated representative is acting on behalf of the complainant, the designated representative must sign here.
**Part II. For Official Use Only**

1. **Migrant or Seasonal Farmworker?**
   - [ ] Yes
   - [ ] No

2. **Complaint or Apparent Violation Employment Service Related (“X” Appropriate Box(es))**
   - [ ] Complaint against the Employer
   - [ ] Apparent violation involving the Employer
   - [ ] Complaint against the Local Employment Service Office
   - [ ] Apparent violation involving the Employment Service Office

2a. **Job Order No, if available:**
   ___________________________

3. **Complaint or Apparent Violation Employment-Related Law:**
   - [ ] Yes
   - [ ] No

4. **Issue(s) involved in Complaint or Apparent Violation (“X” Appropriate Box(es)):**
   - [ ] Wage Related
   - [ ] Housing
   - [ ] Child Labor
   - [ ] Pesticides
   - [ ] Health/Safety
   - [ ] Discrimination
   - [ ] Transportation
   - [ ] Trafficking
   - [ ] Sexual harassment/coercion/assault
   - [ ] Other (Specify)____________________

5. If employer is an H-2A/Criteria Employer, is the complainant a:
   - [ ] U.S. Worker
   - [ ] H-2A Worker

6a. **Referrals To Other Agencies (“X” Appropriate Box(es))**
   - [ ] WHD. U.S. DOL.
   - [ ] OSHA U.S. D.O.L.
   - [ ] EEOC
   - [ ] Other

6b. **Next Follow-up Date if complainant is an MSFW**
   ______/_____/______

7. **Address of Referral Agency (No., St., City, State, ZIP Code and Telephone No.)**

8. **Actions Taken on Complaint/Apparent Violation (If additional space is needed for multiple actions taken, use a separate paper):**
   - **Action Taken By:** __________________________________________________________
   - **On:** ______________________
   - **Action Taken:**

9. **Complaint resolved at the local level**
   - [ ] Yes
   - [ ] No If “No,” explain* _____________________________________________________

10. **Apparent violations resolved at the local level**
    - [ ] Yes
    - [ ] No, If “No,” explain* ____________________________________________________

11. **Provided other American Job Center Services**
    - [ ] Yes
    - [ ] No If “No,” explain* ____________________________________________________

*If additional space is needed for explanations, use a separate paper.

12a. **Name and Title of Person Receiving Complaint**
12b. **Office Address (No., St., City, State, ZIP Code)**

12c. **Phone Number**
    ( )
12d. **Signature**

12e. **Date**
    / / 

**Public Burden Statement**

Persons are not required to respond to this collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. Obligation to reply is required to obtain or retain benefits (44 USC 5301). Public reporting burden for this collection is estimated to average 2 hours and 30 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed, and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Office of Workforce Investment, Room C-4510, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210.
Summary of Complaint & Appeal Procedures for Individuals

What to do if you are an individual who has a complaint involving WIOA or you want to appeal a decision made at the local level:

- If this complaint is about something at the local level, follow the local complaint procedure.

- If the local area does not have a complaint procedure (or will not give you one) or you have a complaint about a statewide activity, email your complaint to ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov. You must send this complaint no later than 60 days after the date of the occurrence about which you are complaining.

- If you disagree with a ruling made at the local level (or no decision has been made by 60 days after you filed your complaint) and you wish to appeal to the State, email your appeal to ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov. (This appeal must be made no later than 90 days after filing the complaint or 30 days after the local area decision was made, whichever comes first.)

- If you believe you have been discriminated against or denied participation in WIOA because of sex, race, color, national origin, disabilities, pregnancy, or other reasons listed on the “Equal Opportunity is the Law” poster displayed in the local Arkansas Workforce Center, follow the procedure on the poster.
  
  If the poster is not available, contact:
  
  WIOA Equal Opportunity Manager
  
  PO Box 2981
  
  Little Rock, AR  72203
  
  Telephone: 501-682-3106
  
  Arkansas Relay Services: 1-800-285-1131

- When you send information about your complaint or appeal, give:
  
  o Your full name and contact information
  
  o The full name and contact information of the person against whom you want to complain, if this is a complaint
  
  o A statement of the decision of the local area if this is an appeal
  
  o A clear and complete description of the facts, including dates
  
  o A statement of attempts to resolve the issue if any were made

- Your complaint or appeal will be investigated, and an informal hearing will be held if you want one.
AGENDA ITEM 5 – INFORMATION:  Arkansas PY 2021 Performance Outcomes for Title I WIOA and Title III Wagner-Peyser

INFORMATION/RATIONALE:  The Department of Labor has established performance accountability indicators and performance reporting requirements to assess the effectiveness of States in achieving positive outcomes for individuals served by the workforce development system. States are required to submit an Annual Report containing performance measures including the performance targets and actual outcomes.
PRIMARY INDICATORS

Employment Rate – 2nd Quarter After Exit:

The percentage of participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the second quarter after exit from the program (for title I Youth, the indicator is the percentage of participants in education or training activities, or in unsubsidized employment during the second quarter after exit).

Employment Rate – 4th Quarter After Exit:

The percentage of participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the second quarter after exit from the program (for title I Youth, the indicator is the percentage of participants in education or training activities, or in unsubsidized employment during the fourth quarter after exit).
PRIMARY INDICATORS

Median Earnings:

The median earnings of participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the second quarter after exit from the program

Credential Attainment:

The percentage of those participants enrolled in an education or training program and who attain a recognized postsecondary credential or a secondary school diploma, or its recognized equivalent, during participation in or within one year after exit from the program
Measurable Skills Gains:

The percentage of program participants who, during a program year, are in an education or training program that leads to a recognized postsecondary credential or employment and who are achieving measurable skill gains, defined as documented academic, technical, occupational, or other forms of progress, towards such a credential or employment.

Documented Progress:

• Achievement of at least one educational functioning level
• Attainment of a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent
• Secondary or postsecondary transcript or report card
• Satisfactory or better progress report, towards established milestones, (i.e. OJT & Apprenticeships)
• Successful passage of an exam that is required for a particular occupation
# WIOA- Title I - PY’ 2021

## Statewide PY 2021 Performance Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Stream</th>
<th>Employment Rate (Q2) Cohort Period: 7/1/2020-6/30/2021</th>
<th>Employment Rate (Q4) Cohort Period: 1/1/2020-12/31/2020</th>
<th>Median Earnings Cohort Period: 7/1/2020-6/30/2021</th>
<th>Credential Rate Cohort Period: 1/1/2020-12/31/2020</th>
<th>Measurable Skills Gains Cohort Period: 7/1/2021-6/30/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Rate 90% Pass Rate</td>
<td>Rate 90% Pass Rate</td>
<td>Earnings 90% Pass Figure</td>
<td>Rate 90% Pass Rate</td>
<td>Rate 90% Pass Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>87.0%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>$6,200.00</td>
<td>82.0%</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
<td>78.3%</td>
<td>$6,754.00</td>
<td>71.9%</td>
<td>73.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW</td>
<td>Target 91.0%</td>
<td>89.0%</td>
<td>$6,900.00</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
<td>70.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>81.9%</td>
<td>$7,986.00</td>
<td>78.7%</td>
<td>71.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>Target 80.0%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>$3,024.00</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
<td>61.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
<td>$4,407.00</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Items Underlined did not meet the target performance level, but did meet the 90% of target minimum requirement*

*Items bolded and underlined fell below the 90% of target minimum requirement*
WIOA Annual Report (Wagner-Peyser) Arkansas

**Filters**
- Program Year: 2021
- LDWB: All
- Office: All

Participant & MSG Cohort Date: 07/01/2021 to 06/30/2022
Exit Cohort Date: 04/01/2021 to 03/31/2022
Employment Rate Q2 & Median Earnings Cohort Date: 07/01/2020 to 06/30/2021
Employment Rate Q4 & Credential Attainment Cohort Date: 01/01/2020 to 12/31/2020

**Negotiated Performance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Participants Served</th>
<th>Total Participants Exited</th>
<th>Employment Rate (Q2)</th>
<th>Employment Rate (Q4)</th>
<th>Median Earnings</th>
<th>Credential Rate</th>
<th>Measurable Skill Gains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Statewide - Numerator</td>
<td>48,157</td>
<td>67,194</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Statewide - Denominator</td>
<td>74,578</td>
<td>97,271</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Statewide</td>
<td>49,529</td>
<td>51,812</td>
<td>64.57%</td>
<td>69.08%</td>
<td>$5,687.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TARGETS**
- 73.4%
- 74.5%
- $5,200
Adult Education Section
FY21 Performance

Dr. Trenia Miles, Director
Bridget Criner, Associate Director
ADULT EDUCATION

Eligibility Requirements:

- 16 years of age or older;
- Not enrolled or required to be enrolled in secondary school under state law; and
  - Is basic skills deficient**;
  - Does not have a secondary school diploma or its equivalent; or
  - Is an English language learner

**Able to serve students with a high school diploma or college degree if they are basic skills deficient.
**Adult Education and Family Literacy Act Program Performance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reportables: 6270</th>
<th>Participants: 8057</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title II: Adult Education and Family Literacy Act Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program Year 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiated Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment (Second Quarter after Exit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment (Fourth Quarter after Exit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Earnings (Second Quarter after Exit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credential Attainment Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurable Skill Gains</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DSB Program
Performance Report

PRESENTED BY: DR. MEGAN LAMB
Program Year is July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022

- Measurable Skill Gains
  - Target Rate of 25%

- Credential Attainment
  - Cohort of participants who exited Jan-Dec 2020

- Employment Rate 2\textsuperscript{nd} Quarter
  - Cohort of exits from PY2020

- Employment Rate 4\textsuperscript{th} Quarter

- Retention with Same Employer
  - Cohort of exits Jan-Dec 2020

- Median Wages 2\textsuperscript{nd} Quarter After Exit
  - Cohort of exits from PY2020
PY 2021 Measurable Skill Gains

- 50 Skill Gains Earned
  - 42 Secondary Report Cards/ Post Secondary Transcripts
  - 5 Skill Progression
  - 2 Training Milestones
  - 1 Educational Functional Level Increase
- PY2021 Rate 24/145 is 27.9%
- PY2021 Target 25%

*A Program Year (PY) is divided in quarters from July 1 – June 30
Period used for reported performance measures = July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022*
6 Credentials Earned During PY
- 1 Vocational/Technical Certificate
- 1 Bachelor’s Degree
- 2 Master’s Degrees
- 2 “Other” Certifications/Degrees

No participants who exited Jan-Dec 2020 report a credential after enrollment date in training with DSB

Reported Credential Rate is 0/7 0%

*Credential Attainment is only reported once a participant exits the program. Participants are earning credentials but may not have exited the program yet.
**PY 2021 Employment Rates**

**Employment Rate**
- **2nd Quarter After Exit**
  - Cohort of exits from PY2020
  - 197 participants who should be included
  - 114 participants were verified as working
  - Employment Rate (114/197) is 57.87%
- **4th Quarter After Exit** – 65 Participants
  - Cohort of exits Jan-Dec 2020
  - 225 participants who should be included
  - 65 participants were verified as working
  - Employment Rate (65/225) is 28.89%

**Retention**
- Cohort of exits from Jan-Dec 2020
- 94 participants had 2nd and 4th Quarter Wages
- 56 of those participants were with the same employer
- Retention Rate (56/94) is 59.57%
PY 2021 Median Wages

- At Program Exit
  - $14.00 per hour
- 2nd Quarter After Exit
  - $7,262.69 per quarter
  - $15.13 per hour
Program Outcomes

- VR Program Year 2021 Closures (July 2021- June 2022)
  - 145 Closed – Rehabilitated

- OIB Federal Fiscal Year 2022 Closures (October 2021-August 1, 2022)
  - 76 Closed – Goals Met
Questions???
Arkansas Rehabilitation Services
Title IV Year End Performance Update PY21
Joseph Baxter
Commissioner
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Category</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor Degree</td>
<td>$4,094,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Training</td>
<td>$1,426,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation Technology</td>
<td>$955,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community College</td>
<td>$891,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supported Employment</td>
<td>$858,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis and Treatment</td>
<td>$418,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Placement Assistance</td>
<td>$118,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Arkansas Rehabilitation Services: Title IV Performance Measures PY 21 (July 1st 2021 to June 30, 2022)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Participants Served: 8214</th>
<th>Total Exits: 2377</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment (Second Quarter after Exit)</strong></td>
<td>2724/4917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment (Fourth Quarter after Exit)</strong></td>
<td>2517/4980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median Earnings (Second Quarter after Exit)</strong></td>
<td>$5573.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Credential Attainment Rate</strong></td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurable Skill Gains</strong></td>
<td>2249/3692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>negotiated rate= 40%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


AGENDA ITEM 6 – ACTION: ADWS Policy No. 4.5 - WIOA Title I-B Eligible Training Provider Policy and Procedures

INFORMATION/RATIONALE: This policy was reviewed by the Executive Committee, but not approved, due to concerns over procures outlined in the policy. ADWS agreed to review the concerns and revise the policy as appropriate based on federal guidelines.
FROM: Charisse Childers, Ph.D., Director

TO: Local Workforce Development Area Administrators/Service Providers/One-Stop Operators/Training Providers/ WIOA Partners

SUBJECT: ADWS Policy No. 4.5- WIOA Title I-B, Eligible Training Provider Policy and Procedures

1. **Purpose:** To provide guidance and established procedures applicable to the training providers and programs listed on the state and local Eligible Training Provider List (ETPL) in accordance with the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA).

2. **General Information:** WIOA Section 122 requires states to establish criteria, information requirements, and procedures regarding the eligibility of providers of training services to receive funds provided under section 133(b) for the provision of training services in local areas in the State.

   The Arkansas Division of Workforce Services (ADWS) is the entity responsible publishing and maintaining the comprehensive Arkansas Eligible Training Provider List with cost information. In addition, the ADWS is responsible for ensuring programs meet the eligibility criteria and performance levels established in the ETPL Policy and Procedures.

3. **Additional Information:** The attached policy is placed in the ADWS Title I Policy Manual at [https://dws.arkansas.gov/workforce-services/programs/workforce-innovation-wioa/](https://dws.arkansas.gov/workforce-services/programs/workforce-innovation-wioa/)

4. **Attachment:** ADWS Policy No. WIOA I-B 4.5 (Eligible Training Provider Policy and Procedures)

5. **Action Required:** Please share this information with all appropriate eligible training provider stakeholders.

6. **Inquiries:** Email - WIOATA@arkansas.gov

7. **Expiration:** Ongoing
Arkansas Division of Workforce Services
Eligible Training Provider Policy

PURPOSE:
To provide information and direction required under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) for training providers on Arkansas’ statewide Eligible Training Provider List (ETPL).

REFERENCES:
Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), Section 122
Training And Employment Guidance Letter No. 8-19
20 CFR 680.410
20 CFR 680.430
20 CFR 680.510
20 CFR 680.470
20 CFR 680.480
WIOA Section 122 (b)(1)
WIOA Section 122 (d)(1)
WIOA Section 3(24)
WIOA Section 133
WIOA Section 134 (c)(E)
TEGL 8-19

BACKGROUND:
WIOA Section 122 requires states to establish criteria, information requirements, and procedures regarding the eligibility of providers of training services to receive funds provided under section 133(b) for the provision of training services in local areas in the State.

The Arkansas Division of Workforce Services is the entity responsible publishing and maintaining the comprehensive Arkansas Eligible Training Provider List with cost information. In alignment with WIOA, the publishing of ETPL by ADWS promotes customer choice, and enables job seekers to compare offerings on the ETPL and select the most appropriate training program with the assistance of Arkansas Workforce Center Staff.
Arkansas Division of Workforce Services
Eligible Training Provider Policy and Procedures

POLICY:

Except in specific circumstances listed in WIOA § 122(h), WIOA § 134(c)(3)(G)(ii), 20 CFR 680.320, TEGL 19-16, and TEGL 8-19, Occupational Skills Training is provided for WIOA title I Adults, Dislocated Workers, and Out-of-School Youth through an individual training account (ITA) that is used to purchase training from an Eligible Training Provider [WIOA § 134(c)(3)(G)(i); TEGL 19-16; TEGL 3-18; TEGL 8-19]. Eligible training providers (ETPs) must be certified by the State and local areas before they may receive funding through ITAs. Only ITAs require the use of an eligible training provider. Other training services required by contracts are not required to be certified as an ETP [TEGL 8-19].

An ITA is a payment agreement established by a local workforce development board on behalf of a participant with a training provider on the eligible training provider List (ETPL). An ITA may be used to pay for any allowable type of training, as listed in WIOA Section 134(c)(3)(D), 20 CFR 680.200, TEGL 19-16, and TEGL 8-19, as long as the program of study is on the state list of eligible training providers. However, ITAs are usually used for occupational skills training.

Occupational skills training is organized program of study that provides specific vocational skills that lead to proficiency in performing actual tasks and technical functions required by certain occupational fields at entry, intermediate, or advanced levels. Such training must [20 CFR 681.540]:

1. Be outcome oriented and focused on an occupational goal specified in ISS or IEP
2. Be of sufficient duration to impart the skills needed to meet the occupational goal
3. Lead to the attainment of a recognized postsecondary credential, as described in TEN 25-19
4. Meet the quality standards in WIOA § 123

Individual Training Account:

While participants can select training from the ETPL, the LWDB policies determine the type and funding amounts for each program. Local WDBs must have the authority to write procedures for making payments, selecting individual training account options such as: vouchers, checkbooks, electronic transfers, setting duration and amounts of individual training accounts and policy regarding exceptions. The authority to restrict the duration of ITAs or to restrict funding amounts should not be used to establish limits that arbitrarily preclude WIOA participants from selecting a training provider of their choice.

The LWDB may choose not to fund specific training programs based on, but not limited to, the following reasons:

- Lack of high occupational demand; or
- High tuition costs compared to similar programs; or
- Lack leading to a self-sufficient wage
- Lack leading to a USDOL-defined “industry recognized credential”
Licensing:

In-state and out-of-state post-secondary institutions must be authorized by a state governing body—such as the Arkansas Division of Higher Education Commission (ADHE), Arkansas Department of Education (ADE), Arkansas Division of Career and Technical Education (ADE CTE), Arkansas State Board of Private Career Education, Higher Learning Commission (NLC) to operate in the State of Arkansas. This does not apply to RAs.

AJL ProviderLink ETPL Application and Program Instructions:

Prospective Eligible Training Providers must apply for eligibility approval status for their programs electronically using AJL ProviderLink, a web-based training application. To be included on the Eligible Training Provider List (ETPL), training providers must set up a user account for the ETPL application in AJL ProviderLink for the Local Workforce Development Board (LWDB) for each area where they wish to provide training services. The instructions to set up the user account for the AJL ProviderLink ETPL Application are included with this link, AJL ProviderLink ETPL Application and Program Instructions.

The user account is designed to enable prospective providers to simultaneously apply for program approval for the WIOA Title I Individual Training Accounts (ITAs).

Being placed on the State ETP list is contingent upon the approval of a training institution’s electronic application and at least one postsecondary occupational program.

Eligibility status for a training institution is one year from the date of approval by the State; however, provider and program approvals will end on a program year basis annually by July 1st thru June 30th. After the initial and first renewal or subsequent program performance reporting data is approved in AJL ProviderLink, eligibility status for a training institution can be approved for one year from the date of renewal or subsequent performance by the State.

An entity that carries out programs under the National Apprenticeship Act will be included and maintained on the ETPL for so long as their program remains registered. These providers will be required to contact ADWS to make known their desire to be listed and provide documentation that verifies their program is a registered apprenticeship program certified by the U.S. Department of Labor/Office of Apprenticeship.

In-Demand Occupations and Projected Employment Opportunities:

Local WDBs may approve a training provider program if there is a demand for an occupation. Local WDBs are responsible for giving training institutions information on occupations in-demand and sectors of the economy that have a high potential for sustained demand or growth within the labor market area. Using labor market information, a local WDB is responsible for determining occupational demand in its local area or in another local area to which a youth, adult or dislocated worker is likely to be willing to relocate.

Local WDBs should instruct providers wishing to explore occupations in-demand in the Arkansas economy to view labor market data found on the Discover Arkansas Labor Market Information Section, Projected Employment Opportunities List, for the State or local area. An occupation is considered an in-
demand or employment opportunity for a local area if it appears on the [Projected Employment Opportunities List (arkansas.gov)](arkansas.gov) for the State or local area. Occupations on an adjacent local area’s list, including local areas in other states, may also be considered employment opportunities in the local area. If a local area would like to train in an occupation that is not on their local area’s Projected Employment Opportunities List, but is on the list of an adjacent area, they should contact the Division of Workforce Services with the information located in the Labor Market Information section, “Adding to the List”, of the occupation within the Discover Arkansas Labor Market Information Section web site. For local areas in other states, the LWDB must provide the adjacent area’s projected employment opportunities list, along with the adjacent state’s contact information and occupations to be trained in before the occupations can be added.

Transferring WIOA Participants:

Local WDBs will determine the feasibility of transferring WIOA participants to another eligible training provider with the same or similar program, when an eligible training provider is removed from the ETP list.

Primary factors to consider in transferring participants are the length of time remaining to complete the training program, necessity and reasonability of allowing participants to continue with a training provider removed from the State ETP list. WIOA participants enrolled with an eligible training provider removed from the ETP list may complete the program for the duration of an ITA. Local WDBs are encouraged to minimize disruption to WIOA participants, to the extent as possible.

PROCEDURES:

Initial Eligibility:

Training providers who wish to be placed on the statewide ETPL must be initiated by completing the online application in the following link, [AJL ProviderLink ETPL Application and Program Instructions](#).

The Initial eligibility procedures apply to all training providers except for RAs. Training providers must provide the following for initial eligibility:

- Description of each program of training services to be offered;
- Information concerning whether the provider is in a partnership with a business
- Evidence that programs result in the awarding of an industry-recognized credential, national or State certificate, or degree, including all appropriate industry competencies, licensing, and certification requirements:
- Cost information, including tuition and fees;
- Information that addresses alignment of the training services with in-demand industry sectors and occupations, to the extent possible;
- Access to training services throughout the State (including rural areas and through technology use).
- Information related to the indicators of performance, which include for all students Workforce Innovation & Opportunity Act (WIOA) performance indicators: employment 2nd & 4th Quarter after exit, median earnings 2nd Quarter after exit, and credential attainment.
Continued Eligibility:

After the initial eligibility expires, training providers are subject to application procedures for continued program eligibility for one (1) year. All approved training providers on the ETPL will be required to provide performance data, except RAs, on all training participants and programs as required WIOA section 116(d)(4).

The continued eligibility reporting information must contain the nine data elements, 120-128, on “All Individuals” in Attachment II – Guide to Reporting on the ETA-9171 (doleta.gov). These elements are as follows:

- Total number of individuals served;
- Total number individuals exited (includes students who completed, withdrew or transferred out of the program);
- Total number who completed the program;
- Total number of exiters employed in the 2nd quarter after exit;
- Total number of exiters employed in the 4th quarter after exit;
- Median earnings of exiters in the 2nd quarter after exit;
- Total number of exiters who attained a credential during participation or within one year after exit;
- Average earnings in the 2nd quarter after exit; and
- Average earnings in the 4th quarter after exit.

Program Exit:

As defined for the purpose of performance calculations, exit is the point after which a participant who have received services through any program meets the following criteria:

1. For the adult, dislocated worker, and youth programs authorized under WIOA title I, the AEFLA program authorized under WIOA title II. And the Employment Service Program authorized under Wagner-Peyser Act, as amended by WIOA title III, exit date is the last day of service.
   (i) The last day of service cannot be determined until at least 90 days have elapsed since participant last received services; services do not include self-services, information-only services or activities, or follow-up services. This also requires that there are no plans to provide the participant with future services.

For consideration of renewal of programs, an eligible training provider must add continued program performance data in AJL ProviderLink annually for the current PY ending June 30th, and this will avoid any interruption of eligibility. The instructions to add the performance data is included in the following link, AJL ProviderLink ETPL Application and Program Instructions.
Performance data must be added by the end of each program year between July 1st and August 15th. The continued eligibility review conducted is required for both the program and the eligible training provider as an institution.

The training provider and program approval date(s) will be extended for an additional year if the training providers meets the fiscal, programmatic integrity and performance standards. The State will not update any program approval for continued eligibility that does not meet the eligibility criteria.

Upon completion of the review of continued eligibility criteria, the training provider will be made aware of any programs for denial or removal via email correspondence or a notification from the AJL ProviderLink system along with the appeal process.

The State may consider a 30-day extension for a provider who has extenuating circumstances if performance cannot be entered prior to a program’s renewal, expiration date.

Performance:

LWDBs have the authority to increase the levels of performance required by the State and require additional verifiable program-specific information from training providers for initial eligibility. The Arkansas Division of Workforce Services/Employment Assistance (EA) Section must be notified if these levels of performance are increased.

To facilitate the public and stakeholder access to such information, training provider outcomes information will also be published on https://www.trainingproviderresults.gov/.

State Minimum Performance Standards for Training Providers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>State Minimum Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALL PARTICIPANT PERFORMANCE MEASURES</strong></td>
<td>Programs must meet the State minimum level for the performance measure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Completion Rate</td>
<td>The number of all participants who completed the program during the reporting period divided by the total number of all participants.</td>
<td>19.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Besides the requirements above, the State has established additional minimum performance standards to evaluate the Renewal/Continued Eligibility determination for programs at the end of each program year (July 1- June 30). The State Minimum Performance Standards implemented by State in accordance with the requirements stipulated by Section 116 of the WIOA for eligible training provider programs inclusion to the state’s ETPL for all are:

**All Program Participants**

1. Credential Attainment Rate (state minimum performance level: 25%)
The percentage of those participants enrolled in an education or training program who attain a recognized postsecondary credential or a secondary school diploma, or its recognized equivalent, during participation in or within one year after exit from the program.

2. Employment Rate 2nd Quarter after Exit (state minimum performance level: 40%)
The percentage of participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the second quarter after exit from the program.

3. Employment Rate 4th Quarter after Exit (state minimum performance level: 45%)
The percentage of participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the fourth quarter after exit from the program.

4. Median Earnings – 2nd Quarter After Exit (state minimum performance level: $1,500 per quarter).
The median earnings of participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the second quarter after exit from the program.

WIOA Title I-B

5. Credential Attainment Rate (state minimum performance level: 25%)
The percentage of those participants enrolled in an education or training program who attain a recognized postsecondary credential or a secondary school diploma, or its recognized equivalent, during participation in or within one year after exit from the program.

6. Employment Rate 2nd Quarter after Exit (state minimum performance level: 25%)
The percentage of participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the second quarter after exit from the program.

7. Employment Rate 4th Quarter after Exit (state minimum performance level: 25%)
The percentage of participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the fourth quarter after exit from the program.

8. Median Earnings – 2nd Quarter After Exit (state minimum performance level: $2,000 per quarter)
The median earnings of participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the second quarter after exit from the program.

Registered Apprenticeships:

Registered Apprenticeship (RA) Programs are automatically eligible to be included on the ETP list. RA programs are an exception to the initial and continued eligibility certification processes. They are exempt from performance and reporting-related requirements. These programs have already gone through a rigorous assessment as part of the registration process with the U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Apprenticeship (DOLETA/OA). Information required for an RA program to be added to the ETPL is:

- Occupation(s) included within the registered apprenticeship program;
- Name and address of the Registered Apprenticeship Program Sponsor;
- Name and address of the related technical instruction provider, including the location of the facility if different from the program sponsor's address;
- Method and length of instruction, and
• The number of active apprentices.

The list of the RA programs are located on the WIOA Approved Apprenticeship Program, on the Arkansas Division of Workforce Services (ADWS) website, Workforce Initiative – Arkansas Division of Workforce Services.

Inclusion of RA programs on the state Eligible Training Provider List (ETPL) enhances participants’ awareness of the programs and provides local workforce systems with a mechanism to support this valuable training model. All RA programs listed on the ETPL will automatically be considered as a statewide demand occupation for as long as they have an unfilled opening(s). Local boards may not require additional criteria of RA programs.

To be listed on the state ETPL, a RA program should complete the online ADWS Registered Apprenticeship Fillable Form, on the ADWS website. Once the form is completed, it will be reviewed by ADWS staff for approval. Once approved, the RA program will be displayed on the ADWS website at:

Workforce Initiative – Arkansas Division of Workforce Services.

If RA Sponsors are interested in participants for a training program to possibly be paid through WIOA funding based on the LWDB’s policy, they should follow the instructions in this link, AJL ProviderLink ETPL Application and Program Instructions.

Eligible Training Provider Responsibilities:

Training providers must comply with the following:

• ETPs must answer all questions on the AJL ProviderLink Application located on the AJL system website, https://www.arjoblink.arkansas.gov.
• Submit additional documents as needed to the LWDB and the ADWS as required.
• Provide periodic updates on WIOA training participants, including copies of credentials and transcripts received by WIOA participants as required and requested by the LWDB.
• Collect information about all students attending a training program as required for reporting of performance measures.
• Ensure their staff submit the performance for their training programs in the AJL ProviderLink system for the ETP Annual Report in the designated timeframe by ADWS.
• Notify the LWDB and ADWS of any changes or updates to a training program.
• Notify the LWDB and ADWS of any other changes such as a change in the point of contact, a transition of the school’s location, change or removal of a program, or impending sale or closure.

Arkansas Division of Workforce Services (ADWS) Responsibilities:

The ADWS is the designated state agency for WIOA administration and is responsible for:
- Ensuring that the information contained on the ETPL is accurate and current.
- Determining eligible training providers’ AJL ProviderLink applications and programs approved by the LWDBs are reviewed, and those approved are placed on the ETPL no longer than the first business week of each month.
- Establishing a process for adding programs to the ETPL and verifying their “approved” status.
- Maintaining the list of eligible training providers and programs approved by LWDB.
- Providing an updated list to all LWDBs and the public through the State’s website.
- Establishing initial eligibility criteria for new training providers and setting minimum levels of performance for all training providers to remain eligible.
- Submitting all reports to the appropriate Federal Agency as required.
- Distributing the ETPL, accompanied by credential, cost and performance information for each ETPL training program throughout Arkansas.
- Allowing training providers to appeal a denial or removal of eligibility, including an opportunity for a hearing at the state level, after a training provider receives an unsatisfactory decision.
- Providing technical assistance to the local areas and the training providers.

**Local Workforce Development Board (LWDB) Responsibilities:**

The LWDB is responsible for ensuring that all Workforce Center (WFC) staff members in the respective LWDBs have access to the ETPL and are knowledgeable about its use; the LWDB will also provide local access to the ETPL for customers within the WFCs. The local WDB must maintain a local list which consists of training providers and programs that a local WDB has conducted business with and are approved on the State ETP list. The purpose of the local list is to:

- Serve as documentation for the local WDB to know which eligible training providers and programs are on the State ETP list;
- Allow a local WDB and the general public to know which eligible training providers have approved programs have been enrolled;
- Assist the local WDB in setting up a system to evaluate training providers’ performance;
- Track the WIOA participant outcome by training provider and program in AJL ProviderLink for which the local WDB has enrolled participants; WIOA participants must view and select eligible training providers from the State ETP list.

Local WDBs cannot use the local list to limit choices for WIOA participants. Local WDBs have the option, by law to establish higher performance levels and make a case for in-demand occupations that may differ from the State’s. Any local WDBs that have established higher minimum performance standards above the state minimum requirements may remove a program of training services from the eligible programs in the local area for failure to meet the higher performance levels, but only if applied consistently to all approved providers within their local area.

Additionally, LWDB must require training providers to supply information regarding their partnerships with businesses. The evidence may also consider whether the training program was designed as a result of the collaboration with the company and must also consider including...
assurance from employers that will hire the students upon successful completion of the training program. Additionally, the LWDB is responsible for:

- Reviewing training programs for initial eligibility;
- Ensuring that all participants are enrolled in approved training programs, as identified on the ETPL.
- Collecting/Reviewing performance and cost information and any other required information related to programs from training providers;
- Monitoring training providers for compliance and performance through the State’s monitoring review process;
- Evaluating performance data of all training providers for their local areas during the continued eligibility review to verify that the training programs meet minimum performance standards;
- Allowing training providers to appeal a denial or removal of eligibility of programs that includes an opportunity for a hearing at the local level, a timely decision and a right to appeal if the provider is unsatisfied with the LWDB decision.

Each local WDB must develop and maintain procedures to determine the eligibility of a training provider’s programs through the AJL ProviderLink system in an objective and consistent manner. Each local WDB must define its own procedures, consistent with the requirements of this policy. Procedures must clearly describe how reviewer(s) will apply any local policies related to the following factors:

- Quality training programs linked to in-demand occupations as determined by the local WDB.
- Performance and cost information, including program-specific performance and cost information of the local outlet(s) of multi-site eligible training providers.
- Information indicating how programs are responsive to local requirements.
- Other appropriate information related to the objectives of WIOA.

Performance Data Requirements for ETP Annual Reporting (Excluding Registered Apprenticeships):

- ETPs must submit accurate and timely information for participants receiving training under WIOA Title I–B.
- ETPs must provide the information necessary to determine program performance and to meet requirements per WIOA. The ETP must agree to make their data available to validate the information submitted for reporting.
- The annual performance reports must contain individual-level data for all participants in programs offered by the ETP that include at least one (1) student receiving WIOA funding.
- The performance data is due in AJL ProviderLink and ADWS between July 1 through August 31 of every year.

Denial, Removal, and/or Appeal of Training Providers and/or Programs:

A training provider must meet the requirements for eligibility and provide accurate information in order to retain its status as an eligible training provider. Only the Governor’s Administrative Entity can remove eligible training providers and programs from the ETP list after appropriate due process.
Local WDBs may take steps to request removal of eligible training providers and/or programs from the ETP list in consultation with the ADWS ETP Coordinator. The State can deny and/or remove a program, an eligible training provider, or both when the individual:

**Denial of a Program/Provider (may reapply for approval after 6 months):**

- Fails to meet the minimum criteria for initial eligibility as specified above;
- Fails to complete the initial eligibility application in AJL ProviderLink;
- Failure to have a license to operate by a private career school as required in the state of Arkansas;
- Fails to add the subsequent eligibility performance reporting ending dates and performance data in AJL ProviderLink as required;
- Program renewal expired past the renewal date; and/or
- Program not approved by the local board.

**Removal of a Program/Provider (may reapply for approval after 1 year):**

- Fails to supply available participant data required for the performance review within due dates established and unwilling to supply required and available participant data by the ADWS staff;
- Violates state and/or local laws;
- State or local required performance levels are not met;
- Loses its educational license or accreditation;
- Fails to notify any program changes including, but not limited to, costs or location of training;
- Ceases to be in business and/or lost its license to operate;
- Fails to meet criteria or minimum performance levels as part of the annual or biennial review of initial or continued eligibility;
- Submits a duplicate program in the system;

**Removal of a Provider (may reapply for approval after 2 years):**

- Substantially violates any provision of Title I of WIOA and its regulations including 29 CFR Part 38, the nondiscrimination and equal opportunity provisions, and
- Intentionally supplies inaccurate data.

**Appeal of Training Providers and Programs:**

If a provider of training services has its eligibility denied, are terminated due to substantial violations, failure to provide requested performance information without reasonable justification, or otherwise are removed for good cause, the training provider will be notified that their training program is being removed from the State’s training provider list. This information regarding the decision will be submitted to the contact person listed on the training provider’s account.

The training provider may appeal the denial or termination within in ten (10) calendar days from the date of receipt of notice of termination by submitting an appeal request to the following email address: ADWS.WIOAAppeals@arkansas.gov.
The State will review the appeal, provide opportunity for a hearing, and issue a written decision/response within (30) calendar days of the receipt of the appeal. The Director will appoint a hearing officer.

The ADWS ETP Coordinator or designee shall remove a provider and/or program that was determined to be no longer eligible no earlier than the 31st calendar day from the issuance of the removal notice. However, if a training provider initially chooses to appeal, a training provider and/or program that is subject to removal shall remain on the state ETPL until the appeal is concluded.

After official removal of a training provider and/or program from the ETP list, local WDBs cannot fund WIOA participants enrolled in the removed training provider and/or its programs. Per WIOA Section 122, a training provider removed from the ETP list for failure to meet performance or is in violation of the WIOA regulations, cannot apply for eligibility for one year after the official removal date. Training providers may appeal a removal decision under the appeal process.

Monitoring:

The ADWS will monitor the LWDBs for ETPL compliance during annual monitoring visits or desk reviews. The LWDB auditor will randomly select WIOA participant files and validate that the data has been uploaded into the system correctly through the State’s monitoring review process, ensuring that the annual USDOL ETP report is accurate. Additionally, the LWDBs must establish monitoring procedures and will provide a copy of this process to the ETPL Coordinator upon request as part of the State’s monitoring review process.

Reciprocal Agreement:

WIOA Section 122(g) encourages states to enter in reciprocal agreements to permit eligible providers of training services in a state to accept ITAs provided in another state. Under the current policy, Training Providers must have a physical and permanent Arkansas mailing address. Arkansas currently has reciprocal agreements with the state of Missouri. Only Providers located in states bordering the state of Arkansas and approved Apprenticeship Sponsors are eligible for inclusion on the Arkansas ETPL.

While the ETPL is the primary list of ETPs and programs to be used when referring an eligible WIOA Title I-B participant to training, the LWDB can send a participant to training located in a different state if the training provider has a Reciprocal Agreement with Arkansas. These agreements allow Arkansas participants to use ETPs if that training provider appears on the other State's ETPL. Similarly, WIOA participants in the reciprocal states can utilize programs that are on Arkansas's ETPL.

Contact:

For any questions related to this policy, please contact the ADWS Employment Assistance Unit at WIOA@arkansas.gov.
AGENDA ITEM 7 – INFORMATION: North Arkansas College Presentation

INFORMATION/RATIONALE: Over the last several months David Mason, Dean of Workforce Development, at North Arkansas College has been working to make connections in Local Workforce Areas and various State Government Departments.

His presentation is to promote the college’s programs; however, he brings to the table a great understanding of how he has navigated the system from WIOA, Apprenticeships, Business relationships, to emerging himself in state government relationships.

These relationships include, but are not limited to, Office of Skills Development weekly apprenticeships meetings, Arkansas Community Correction partnership, Division of Workforce Services partnership, Local Workforce Area partnerships, and Local Workforce Board Meeting attendance.
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